SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 2 DEPARTMENT NO. 57 HON. PAUL G. BRECKENRIDGE, JR., JUDGE 3 4 5 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF CALIFORNIA, 6 Plaintiff. 7 VS. 8 GERALD ARMSTRONG, No. C 420 153 9 Defendant. 10 MARY SUE HUBBARD, 11 12 Intervenor. 13 14 REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT 15 Tuesday, May 29, 1984 16 VOLUME 20 17 18

Pages 3390 - 3586, inclusive

APPEARANCES:

(See next page)

21

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



NANCY HARRIS, CSR \$644 HERBERT CANNON, CSR #1923 Official Reporters

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For Plaintiff:	PETERSON & BRYNAN
3		BY: JOHN G. PETERSON 8530 Wilshire Boulevard
		Suite 407
4		Beverly Hills, California 90211
5	For the Intervenor:	LITT & STORMER BY: BARRETT S. LITT
6		Paramount Plaza
7		3550 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 1200
		Los Angeles, California 90010
8		and
9		BARRETT S. LITT
10		BY: MICHAEL S. MAGNUSON
10		The Oviatt Building 617 South Olive Street
11		Suite 1000
12		Los Angeles, California 90014
		and
13		ROBERT N. HARRIS
14		The Oviatt Building 617 South Olive Street
15		Suite 915
		Los Angeles, California 90014
16		
17	For the Defendant:	CONTOS & BUNCH BY: MICHAEL J. FLYNN
18		and
10		JULIA DRAGOJEVIC
19		5855 Topanga Canyon Boulevard Suite 400
20		Woodland Hills, California 91367
1000		
21	Also Appearing for Witness	MR. LARRY HELLER
22	Lyman Spurlock:	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

DAY	DATE				PAGE
Tuesday	May 28,	1984	A.M. P.M.		3390 3494
		WITNESSES			
DEPENSE:		DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
SULLIVAN, Laure (Resumed) (Further) (Further)	i			3392 3412 3420	3400-H 3419-L
SPURLOCK, Lyman		3429	3477-н	3507	
DINCALCI, Nancy		3530	353 7- L 3552-н	3563 3572	3567-L 3568-E
WALTERS, Edward		3580			
		EXHIBITS			
PLAINTIFF'S:				IDENT	FOR FICATION
	Seven Divis	ion Org Bo	ard"		3482
84 - Document "Seven Division Org Board" 85 - Document "Church of Scientology					
	ical Struct				3486
36 - Document Confidentiality of PC Folder Data 3		3495			
87 - Document "	The Bridge"				3495
DEPENSE:					
AAAA - Not desc	ribed on th	e record			3393
BBB - Not desc	ribed on th	e record			3393
CCCC - Photogra	ph				3396
DDDD - 2 docume			tract		3416
Magatiat	ione" 10-22	-XII			
Negotiat EEEE - Not desc	ions 10-23				3467

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, MAY 29, 1984; 9:10 A.M.

---0---

THR

THE COURT: All right we are back in session. Counsel are all present.

Are you going to continue with Miss Sullivan's testimony at this time?

MR. FLYNN: I am, Your Honor.

Just so the Court and opposing counsel will know, the approach that we are going to take in light of the Court's comments on Friday with regard to MCCS is as follows: We see basically two aspects of the problem; one is the admissibility of the tapes, and two relates to issues involving the control mechanisms by Mr. Hubbard and Who Mr. Armstrong worked for.

7 8

In light of the Court's current view, what we are going to do -- and in light of Plaintiff's statement that they don't intend to seek any damages for the tapes, we are basically going to take the view that if the Plaintiff and the Intervenor introduce evidence to rebut the current state of the record that Mr. Hubbard controlled the organizations and that there was no corporate integrity to them, then we see the issue of the admissibility of the tapes as directly contrary evidence because the tapes say precisely the opposite of what they would then introduce evidence on to rebut our case.

At that point the issue of the purposes of MCCS with regard to creating the precise type of evidence that the Plaintiff would then be seeking to introduce would indicate to the Court that in effect that was the purpose of MCCS.

So what we are going to do is kind of let the ball bounce over into the other court.

THE COURT: In other words, what you are saying is that you would like to reserve that for surrebuttal in the event that Plaintiff puts on something in their rebuttal which would tend to contradict what the Court perceives as the state of the record at this time?

MR. FLYNN: Correct.

THE COURT: That is agreeable with the Court.

I guess we are still with Miss Sullivan on the stand here on redirect.

You may retake the stand. State your name again

for the record. You are still under oath.

THE WITNESS: Laurel Sullivan.

LAUREL SULLIVAN,

the witness on the stand at the time of adjournment, having been previously duly sworn, resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

THE COURT: You may continue, Mr. Flynn.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)

BY MR. FLYNN:

Miss Sullivan, when we ended on Friday we were talking about a policy relating to the purported cancellation of Fair Game; is that correct?

A Yes.

Now, I'm showing you a book that says on it, "Organization Executive Course HCO Division 1" copyrighted by L. Ron Hubbard.

This is published in 1970.

Referring to page 489 of that volume, I direct your attention to "HCO policy letter 21 October, 1969" which starts "Cancellation of Fair Game"; do you see that?

Yes.

26

27

*Xx		
	1	Q Now, is that the policy letter you were
	2	referring to in your testimony on Friday which purported
	3	to cancel it but per your testimony only cancelled the
	4	practice of putting the Declares on ethics orders?
	5	A Yes.
	6	Q So, to your knowledge throughout the period
_	7	of time that you were involved in the organization, Fair
	8	Game with regard to the treatment or handling of an SP was
~	9	never cancelled; is that correct?
	10	A That is correct.
	11	THE COURT: Did you want this marked as an
_	12	exhibit, Counsel?
	13	MR. FLYNN: May that be marked, Your Honor?
	14	THE COURT: Quadruple A.
	15	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Let me show you a magazine
	16	may this be marked next in order?
-	17	THE COURT: Four B's.
	18	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Entitled "Advance" issue 12,
_	19	copyright 1972 by L. Ron Hubbard.
	20	A Are you sure it is '72
	21	Q Now, I direct your attention to page the
-	22	inside of the back cover starting, "Join Ron's personal
	23	organization"; do you see that?
	24	A Yes.
_	25	Q Did you participate in the preparation of
	26	that promotion?
÷	27	A This particular page?
	28	Correct.

1 I may have, but this magazine came from the ship, so it was published by the ship. Probably printed 2 3 in Los Angeles but prepared at the ship. Q Well, to your knowledge in 1972 as indicated 5 in this particular publication, was L. Ron Hubbard promoting 6 the Sea Organization as his quote, personal organization? 7 Yes, and the Church of Scientology of California 8 is part of the Sea Organization as a Sea Org organization. 9 Now, let me show you a picture -- directing 10 your attention to that picture, Miss Sullivan; do you 11 recognize that? 12 A Yes. 13 And approximately when was that taken? 0 14 A I think early '74. 15 And for what purpose was that picture taken? 16 It is part of a series. I think it is No. 1 17 in a series going to staff members. There are other 18 pictures of LRH briefing staff and him at the Telex machine, 19 and I think possibly five others in the series, and it was 20 sent out to organizations for their notice board and 21 published in the Flag Management Newsletter as a cover 22 sheet -- as the cover. 23 Was the purpose of that picture and the other 24 ones that you have just described promotional? 25 Yes. A 26 And promotional in what way? Q 27 It was to inspire staff that LRH was in 28 charge and that he was definitely there on the management

1 scene, and there was a five times game at that time to increase statistics in the organization by five times, to 2 do that for him. 3 4 Q Increase the growth income by a factor of five? 5 MR. HARRIS: Wait a minute. Object to that; leading. 6 7 THE COURT: All right, I will sustain the objection. 8 The witness can tell us what five related to, I presume. 9 THE WITNESS: The five times statistics were all of 10 the international statistics of which the gross income was 11 a major statistic, and that included delivery statistics 12 as well as book sale statistics. 13 Was that promoted on the basis of doing it 14 for Ron? 15 In a sense. The do it for Ron was in a 1971 16 era, so that slogan had kind of fallen out, but it was a 17 similar thing. It was five times. It was a game and there 18 were other posters. We shot one with LRH lighting a rocket 19 which had a 10 times on it taken on the ship. 20 Was that promoted in terms of his birthday? Q 21 A Yes, it was part of that. 22 What was the correlation between promoting 0 23 the gross income statistic in relation to Mr. Hubbard's 24 birthdate? 25 Birthday gift to him. A 26 27

1	Q And organizations throughout the world tried to
2	raise their income by a factor of five as a birthday
3	promotion?
4	A Yes. That was part of it.
5	They have had five times and ten times gains.
6	And at this particular time it was a "get-on source promotion
7	which is do what he says, him being the source.
8	Q Following Mr. Hubbard's directive?
9	A Uh-huh.
10	MR. FLYNN: May this be marked next in order, Your
11	Honor?
12	THE COURT: Quadruple C.
13	MR. HARRIS: We don't have a copy of it.
14	MR. FLYNN: That is the only one I have got of it, Your
15	Honor.
16	THE COURT: Is this you?
17	THE WITNESS: That is me.
18	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Now, Mr. Harris had asked you a
19	question about Mr. Hubbard being a warm, nice human being;
20	do you recall that?
21	A Yes.
22	Q In 1978-1979 were you with Mr. Hubbard at
23	La Quinta and Gilman Hot Springs?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And would you describe Mr. Hubbard's activities
26	at that time in terms of the type of person he displayed
27	himself as being to the staff.
28	A Well, for the most part we were making films

during that period or shooting posters, still photography.

And that was the contact that the staff had with him

personally. His written communications saturated the

organization pretty thoroughly, but not all the staff saw

him.

He had what I would call a dual personality characteristic. In a sense he was warm and friendly and in a sense he could turn and be erratic, irrational, screaming, yelling and cause a great deal of upset with the staff.

- Q Did he curse a great deal at staff members?
- A Yes.

- Q And --
- A As PR, my hands were full.
- Q What do you mean by that, Miss Sullivan.
- at, told he is a jerk; told that he is stupid or told that he is no good or that he can't do anything. And he is getting told this in front of 30 other staff members who are going to, obviously, go into agreement with the person who is saying that. And you are trying also to get them to be able to work.

As a public relations person, I would always -my first opportunity of seeing that person alone or being
able to kind of let them know that it wasn't the end of the
world and to carry on with their work or they really weren't
that bad or really in the long run L. Ron Hubbard would cool
off and they would have their little apologies later. It
was something that I did pretty routinely.

1 Did he have a phobia with regard to soap in his 2 clothes? 3 MR. HARRIS: Phobia, Your Honor? I'll object. It is 4 beyond the --5 MR. FLYNN: I'll withdraw it. 6 Miss Sullivan, you testified that you believed 7 this conversation you had with Kima Douglas relative to RRF 8 was in 1972; do you recall that? 9 A Yes. 10 That is your best memory as to when the 11 conversation took place? 12 Well, how I recall it having to do with the job 13 that I held, I held that job over '72 and '73. 14 So it could have been in the summer of '73? 0 15 Yes. It could have been. 16 Now, is there any question in your mind but that 17 you had the conversation or the two conversations? 18 No, no. It definitely occurred. 19 All right. Now, in some instances you have a 20 very startling memory with regard to precise dates; for 21 example, when you joined a particular post or undertook 22 particular posts; why is that? 23 Because of the issues that would get published 24 at those times or -- for the most part, when you are carrying 25 out your duties and there are certain issues that you are 26 following, when you making proposals, you attach those issues 27 or letters or statements with their dates to your proposals. 28 And when you make several proposals on different subjects

. .3

1 like I did, you get to know the dates or they get to be very familiar. 2 In fact, I had files that just kept extra copies 3 of issues to hand that I could just attach and submit as a 5 support document to my proposal. In other words, over the years the dates of 6 7 particular publications would continually arise and you would 8 associate events with those dates; is that correct? 9 Sure; like the Founder policy letter 1 September, 10 '66. You just remember it because you see it again and again 11 and again. It is something that you do. 12 Like my issue on my posting, I am pretty sure 13 it is 4 November. 14 I had another promotion on my birthday, 24 July, 1969. 15 16 Those things do not mean necessarily anything to somebody else, but I also remember all the bulletins that 17 came out on that day. It is something that is just unique 18 19 to me as far as what I can actually remember. 20 MR. FLYNN: That is all I have, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Litt? 22 MR. LITT: No, Your Honor; Mr. Harris. 23 THE COURT: Mr. Harris? 24 MR. HARRIS: Yes, Your Honor. 25 26

27

BY MR. HARRIS:

Q You testified, Miss Watson, that you had a conversation with Miss Britowich in which she told you that your Fair Game Declare would be lifted or canceled if you testified on behalf of the organization in this case; is that right?

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

A She said that I should cooperate and work with the organization.

She at that time, I don't think, said "testify" as such, but it is one and the same as far as cooperating.

She used the words "Fair Game"?

A Well, at the time there was an international issue out on me, not specifically naming me, but discussing things that I had done. And there was also an international Declare out on me. So there were two issues being discussed.

Q Let me ask you this: Did she use the words "Fair Game Declare," when she talked to you, Miss Sullivan?

A She used "Declare" and my suppressive order.

You see, she is the one that read the order to me on the telephone in the first place. I hadn't actually received a copy until later.

You testified on page 3366 last Friday: "Now, did you have a conversation with Miss Britowich in which she told you" --

A Where are you reading?

Q -- "In which she told you that your

Pair Game Declare would be lifted or canceled if you testified on behalf of the organization in this case?

That is what you testified to; right?

A Uh-huh.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: You said "international issue, international order."

In non-Scientology terms, what is that? THE WITNESS: It was a published statement to all organizations and all missions in the world saying that I was suppressive for not disconnecting from Gerald Armstrong, and for a number of other crimes which were the same ones that I had supposedly undergone a justice action for, and then there was another international issue, which is the L. Ron Hubbard executive /that goes to all organizations and all missions, and is also broadly published. That means it can go into promotions or promotional pieces or get published in the newsletter or something that said that an issue that I had written earlier was not all right and unauthorized, which wasn't so, and I can't remember all of it, but that I was pretty bad.

MR. HARRIS: Deposition in this case, Mr. Flynn, page 209 lines 17 through 19:

> Did someone offer to lift the 0" Declare on you if you testified for the Church? No, they certainly didn't."

THE WITNESS: I'd like to explain that. First of all, Lisa called me and was no longer a staff member, and I told her in that telephone call that she didn't represent me and should not try to negotiate that because I didn't care about my Declare being issued -- being lifted. was apparently something she cooked up with Jeff Schievell and I didn't who she was representing. She certainly wasn't

	going to represent me, so that is why you have that discrepancy.		
2	Q BY MR. HARRIS: That explains the discrepancy;		
3	is that correct?		
4	A Yes.		
5	Q Now, Miss Sullivan, you said Advanced		
6	Organization Los Angeles was a part of the Church of		
7	Scientology of California but was a Sea Org org; is that		
8	correct?		
9	A Uh-huh.		
10	THE COURT: You have to answer audibly.		
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.		
12	Q BY MR. HARRIS: And the Sea Org org, as you		
13	understood it, contained people who were Sea Org people;		
14	right?		
15	A Right.		
16	Q And the American St. Hill Organization was		
17	also a Sea Org org within the Church of Scientology of		
18	California; right?		
19	A Correct.		
20	Q Did you have any personal knowledge of who		
21	prepared exhibit BBBB it is getting out of hand, Your		
22	Honor that is "Join Ron's Personal Organization"?		
23	A Well I was familiar with the setup and the		
24	layout of the Advance magazine from its inception.		
25	Q So you knew personally who prepared this		
26	particular item; is that correct?		
27	A It says it in the magazine in the masthead.		
28	The editor is David Ziff and the assistant editor is Sylvia Race		
	마다 - 하는 동안 하는 것이 되었다. 그런 그들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람		

5-3 1 both of whom I know, and both of whom routinely prepared 2 the copy and both of them at that time were on the Flag 3 ship and getting their authority from L. Ron Hubbard. 4 Q So from what you know --5 From my experience. A 6 From what you know, it was David Ziff and 0 7 someone else --8 A Sylvia Race. 9 -- who prepared this particular item? Q 10 A Yes. 11 And you say Mr. Hubbard approved it? Q 12 A Well it was routine that he approved it. 13 But you don't have any personal knowledge that 14 he approved this particular item? 15 A No, but I know that he often referred to the 16 Sea Organization and the AO as his org. 17 Q His org? 18 He referred to it that way himself. A 19 By the way, is an organization different than 20 a corporation so far as you knew at the time that you 21 read this? 22 At the time it came out, I didn't make a A 23 distinction. 24 You made no distinction? 25 A No, not at that time. 26 THE COURT: What is MEST, M-E-S-T? 27 THE WITNESS: Matter, energy, space and time. It is an 28 acronym for the physicalness of things.

1 Q BY MR. HARRIS: Now, Miss Sullivan, gross 2 income was one of how many statistics being kept? 3 Well the actual number shifted from time to 4 time. The gross income is the executive statistic, the division 7 statistic along with value of services delivered 5 of division 7, and therefore one of two or three of the 6 7 seniormost statistics kept internationally. 8 I will ask the question again. How many 9 statistics did the organization keep? 10 About -- probably about 20, 21. A 11 Including Well Done Auditing Hours? Q 12 Yes, that is division 4. A 13 And New People Into The Organization? 0 14 New Names to CF, New Public, division 6, yes. A 15 And other statistics? Q 16 Yes. A 17 Cash Bills? Q Yes. Cash bills is Guardian's office. 18 A 19 And so when you were mentioning this LRH 20 birthday game, is that what it is? 21 Uh-huh. 22 THE COURT: The birthday game was to get all the 23 statistics up five times? 24 Uh-huh. 25 THE COURT: You have to answer audibly. 26 THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. HARRIS: By Mr. Hubbard's birthday? 27 28 Well, yes. At times it was 10 times.

1	Q	And this birthday game, did you have something
2	to do with the	at?
3	A	Yes I assisted him with the photography of the
4	posters and w	cote issues on it and worked with the aides in
5	the promotion	of it.
6	Q	Can you tell me when is your best recollection
7	of having this	conversation with Kima Douglas about RRF?
8	A	When?
9	Q	When.
10	A	Well I don't have a date that comes to mind.
11	I just have ci	rcumstances to date it.
12	Q	The international issue that you are talking
13	about was your	Declare?
14	A	There are two international issues.
15	Q	Is one of the international issues your Declare?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Is the other one an LRH ED?
18	A	As I understand it, yes.
19	Q	And when you say as you understand it, have
20	you seen the I	RH ED?
21	Α.	Yes, but I haven't seen it in the last couple
22	of years.	
23	Q	And it didn't mention you; did it?
24	A	Not specifically by name, but it referred to
25	a person who h	had authorized an issue, and I am the author of
26	that issue.	
27	Q	And
28	A	I think the person who wrote it very specifical
29	did not mentio	on my name to protect themselves.

if

3 -

- Q Well, who wrote it?
- A Well, I don't recall who wrote that.
- Q Isn't your testimony that this HCO Policy Letter of 21 October, 1968 canceled the penalties for lower conditions which is exhibit, I think, RR?

A Well, this particular issue doesn't say it cancels anything except Pair Game; otherwise, it would say up here, "Cancels HCO Policy Letter," blah, blah, blah to give you a reference so that if you ran across this you could look up the earlier issue and say that is the one that is canceled. This is the way it goes.

But there is no other notation here that anything is canceled.

And this is way we had that conversation over whether it was actually canceled or revised, because it says this is canceled, but it doesn't say the -- it says this Policy Letter does not cancel any policy in the treatment or handling of the SP.

So there is just that little thing shoved in there that does not cancel anything.

- Q Let me ask you this: Have you seen an actual cancellation of the HCO Policy Letter of 18 October, "Penalties for Lower Conditions"?
 - A I probably have, but I don't recall it offhand.
- Q That was a different item than HCO PL 21 October, 1968 which has been marked exhibit quadruple A; is that correct?
 - A Well, I'll tell you what: I have probably seen

.2_

Q I am referring specifically to exhibit RR; is it your contention that exhibit RR is an existing noncanceled policy letter?

MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, it has been asked and answered five times.

MR. HARRIS: It has not been answered yet.

THE COURT: I don't think that is her testimony, counsel.

She said as far as her understanding, the policy still exists that suppressive persons outside the organization — it has been changed and revised; other things have happened, apparently, is her testimony relating to other people.

MR. HARRIS: I want to distinguish between a practice which I understand this witness' testimony relates to, Your Honor, and the actual written policy letter which has been paraded up here.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know, counsel; you represent the church. And I presume that if you have some documents that would impeach the witness on this matter, then you probably should present them to her and cross-examine her on them.

I agree you have a right to test her recollection.

But she has attempted, I believe, to answer your question.

THE WITNESS: You see, this says here ". . .applies to both Orgs and Sea Org."

It is also Issue 4. We don't have the earlier issue. We also don't have the subsequent issues for that

1 series. 2 THE COURT: There is no question pending at the moment. 3 MR. HARRIS: I did put one in, Your Honor. I am trying 4 to locate it. 5 THE COURT: We have so many exhibits here. 6 MR. HARRIS: That is my problem too, Your Honor. 7 May I have exhibit 55? 8 Q Directing your attention to what has been marked 9 exhibit 55, I ask you if you recognize that? 10 I have seen it before. 11 And you mentioned that the document which you 12 pointed to about cancellation of Fair Game didn't have any 13 cancellations of any specific policy letters; correct? 14 This is written by -- this is written in 1981. A 15 Yes. 16 Signature L. Ron Hubbard -- he was not on the 17 scene -- assisted by Real LaPlaine, International HCO Exec 18 Sec. And it lists all these. 19 Yes. And up at the top here, particularly, it 20 mentions those issues which remain canceled. And one of them 21 is HCO PL 18 October, Issue 4, Penalties for Lower Conditions; 22 is that correct? 23 Why would somebody have to issue that they remain 24 canceled if they were really canceled? 25 26 27

1	Q Well, there is more than one.
2	A If they were really cancelled
3	Q There is more than one
4	A But why did someone have to say "remain
5	cancelled unless they somehow got uncancelled. I don't get
6	it. That I don't get because if you have to say they remain
7	cancelled, then there is getting to/some question about
8	whether they are cancelled or not, so she is trying to do
9	something there which isn't real clear.
10	Q You didn't at the time you read exhibit 55
11	have some confusion about what it says; did you?
12	A I don't know when I read this. At that time
13	I probably would have just shoved it in a drawer. I had no
14	interest really in reading this stuff.
15	Q Okay. Now, you testified on redirect that
16	your deposition testimony in the St. Petersburg Times case
17	was a shore story; is that correct?
18	A Essentially.
19	Q Does that mean, Miss Sullivan, that you
20	lied in the deposition?
21	A What it means is I left out some important
22	data that I could have said. I chose not to say it and so
23	I shifted emphasis.
24	I also used a hypothetical example.
25	Q And you recall me asking you on cross-examination
26	whether at the time that you gave that testimony you believed
27	it and your answer, "Yes"?
28	A I believed those things that I said were so.

assumption?

7-3 1 THE COURT: Yes. 2 MR. HARRIS: Yes, that is all I moved. 3 BY MR FLYNN: Do you know whether Lisa Britowich Q 4 was in communication with Jeff Scheivell? 5 A Yes. 6 And you also knew at that that time that Q. 7 Lisa Britowich was not in the organization; is that correct? 8 A Yes. 9 So what you are saying is that you didn't Q 10 think in your deposition that Lisa Britowich had the 11 authority to cancel your Declare? 12 MR. LITT: Objection. 13 MR. HARRIS: That assumes facts not in evidence, 14 also. 15 THE COURT: Well you can restate it. I will sustain 16 your objection. 17 BY MR. FLYNN: What if anything did you think, 18 Miss Sullivan, with regard to the authority of Lisa Britowich 19 to cancel your Declare? 20 She didn't have any authority. She was out of 21 the organization. 22 Jeff Sheivell had called her to find out if 23 I would talk to him, and I said, "Well, why doesn't he call 24 me? He is the one that published my address. Why doesn't 25 he call me?" 26 She said, "Well, they don't know if you will 27 talk to them."

28

I said, "Well, there is only one way to find

1 that out is for them to call me." 2 She set up this line to me as a via which I 3 objected to and was adding things in or stating them 4 for them, and it wasn't clear to me so I said, "Lisa, you 5 don't represent me." 6 Q You were at that time friendly with Lisa 7 Britowich; is that correct? 8 A Yes. 9 And in your testimony at the trial did you 10 equate a Fair Game Declare with a Declare where a person 11 who is being declared is out of the organization? 12 A Yes. 13 So, if Lisa Britowich didn't say Fair Game Q 14 Declare but simply Declare, did you understand the two to 15 mean one and the same? 16 A Yes. It is just staff member lingo. "Lift your 17 Declare." 18 And with regard to testify and cooperation, Q 19 did you understand that to mean the same thing? 20 Yes. 21 Now, in your conversation with Mr. Litt, 22 did you tell Mr. Litt that --23 MR. LITT: Objection; beyond the scope of Mr. Harris' 24 cross. 25 THE COURT: I will sustain the objection unless you 26 want to reopen. 27 MR. FLYNN: I will withdraw it, Your Honor. 28 I do have one document which is beyond the

scope, Your Honor, which I overlooked. MR. HARRIS: Do you have a copy? MR. FLYNN: And I don't have a copy of it, and I'd like to have the witness identify it. THE COURT: Have you shown it to counsel? MR. LITT: No. MR. FLYNN: It relates to the biography project in the contract negotiations.

1	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Miss Sullivan, directing your
2	attention to these two documents stapled together
3	May they be marked next in order, Your Honor?
4	THE COURT: I think we are up to quadruple D. Four
5	D's.
6	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Do you recognize these two
7	letters?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And which of those documents did you personally
10	prepare?
11	A This one, the second one.
12	Q The second one which is dated 23 October, 1980
13	which starts at the top "Re Biography Contract Negotiations"
14	is that correct?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And in what way does the first two pages of
17	exhibit quadruple D relate to the second two pages?
18	A It is a reply.
19	Q And in the first
20	A It is not the complete reply because there were
21	supposedly two phone calls, one from Ann Mulligan to Mary Sue
22	and one from Ann Mulligan to me on this as well.
23	Q Now, was it the second two pages of the exhibit
24	that initiated the series of phone calls you just referred
25	to?
26	A Yes.
27	Q And were those phone calls held on the same day?
28	A I think the next day.

1 It is really a summary of her communications with Mary Sue 2 in reply. 3 Q Now, this was shortly before the agreement was entered into; is that correct, the agreement between PDK and 4 5 Omar Garrison? 6 A Yes. 7 Now, on the document that begins at the top Q 8 "Re Biography Contract Negotiations" that you prepared which 9 is the third page of this exhibit, there is a notation in 10 paragraph, numbered paragraph 2 that another contract be 11 drawn up between PUB's DK and R. 12 Who is R? 13 A LRH. 14 To pay royalties to him for his permission to 15 use materials of his, et cetera; what did that mean? 16 Well, it meant that -- well, first of all, LRH 17 and PDK already had an agreement that was an author-publisher 18 agreement. And there was going to be an addition to that 19 for the use of his materials in compilation of any books and 20 we were going to broaden it. The broadening of it comes under 21 MCCS. 22 The actual discussion here is to set up a payment 23 to L. Ron Hubbard as the subject for the use of his materials 24 which is the archives. 25 The archives that Mr. Armstrong was collecting? Q 26 Correct. A 27 MR. FLYNN: That is all I have, Your Honor. 28 THE COURT: Counsel, do you want to cross-examine on

this?

MR. LITT: Could we have a moment, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Surely.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. LITT:

Q Miss Sullivan, you referred in Mr. Flynn's questions to you to something that was supposed to happen; did you ever see a signed contract by Mr. Hubbard and PUBS DK that reached the agreement that you are talking about that was supposed to happen there?

A I saw a framework that a contract would cover.

I didn't see a contract.

I was removed before that could be completed.

- Q So you have no personal knowledge as to whether any such contract was ever actually executed or not, do you?
- A Well, I was told by Ron Pook that it was, but I never saw it.

MR. LITT: Move to strike the last part, Your Honor. The first part is nonresponsive.

THE COURT: All right.

- Q BY MR. LITT: You do not have any personal knowledge; correct?
 - A No.
 - O Is that correct?
 - A No, that is correct.
 - MR. LITT: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Harris?

1 MR. HARRIS: No, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Plynn? 3 4 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. FLYNN: 6 You did know at the time that Omar Garrison 7 entered into the contract with PDK that the basis of that 8 contract was the contract that PDK would have with L. Ron 9 Hubbard; is that correct? 10 MR. LITT: Objection. Leading. 11 THE COURT: At this stage it is, but in the interest 12 of getting her off, I don't mind a leading question. I'll 13 overrule it. 14 THE WITNESS: That is correct. 15 And the contract between Omar and PDK really 16 couldn't be executed on its own without that agreement. 17 MR. FLYNN: Thank you. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Litt? 19 MR. LITT: Nothing. 20 MR. HARRIS: Nothing further, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: You may step down. 22 MR. HARRIS: Can she remain on call, Your Honor, in 23 case this MCCS stuff comes up again? 24 THE COURT: I'll excuse the witness subject to being 25 on call through Mr. Flynn in the event further testimony is 26 required. 27 MR. HARRIS: Mr. Spurlock is down on the second floor, 28 Your Honor. Mr. Flynn notified us he would be the next

1 witness.

MR. FLYNN: I can put on another short witness before Mr. Spurlock.

In view of this claim that the Fair Game Doctrine has been canceled, I brought a witness in from Las Vegas.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. HARRIS: Somebody on your witness list, I am sure?

MR. FLYNN: I understand that he may not be on the

witness list. He has been sued three times by the

organization. His deposition has been taken approximately

20 times.

In light of the position taken by the organization on Friday that the Fair Game Doctrine had been canceled --

I could bring him back on surrebuttal, if necessary, but he is here. I expect him to be fairly short with regard to three or four points that have arisen during the case.

MR. LITT: If I can be heard, Your Honor, as far as
I know Mr. Walters is not on the witness list. And there
would be preparations to be done to cross-examine Mr. Walters
which has not been done because we were given no notice that
he was to be a witness in this case.

We would object to him being a witness. But if he is to be a witness, we would ask that it not be now so that, at least, we have an opportunity to do some preparation.

He is, presumably, going to stand up and make all kinds of statements that I don't know anything about.

And I presume Mr. Harris doesn't know anything about them either. And we don't have the opportunity to do any investigation under these circumstances.

MR. FLYNN: Well, Your Honor, with regard to Mr. Harris' knowledge, Mr. Walters was a witness in the IRS case and was cross-examined by Mr. Harris.

MR. HARRIS: No, that is not true, Your Honor.

Mr. Walters was a witness in the IRS case and was not

cross-examined by me or anybody else on behalf of the church.

MR. FLYNN: Well, he was a witness in the IRS case.

MR. HARRIS: He was, relating to the period 1970 through '72.

THE COURT: Well, what is your offer of proof for this witness, Mr. Plynn?

MR. FLYNN: He would testify that between the years

1970 and as late as 1979 he acted as a Guardian's office

missionaire dealing with the highest members of the Guardian's

office on missions to implement the Fair Game Doctrine

against a variety of people and organizations, of which he

specifically did, he had direct conversations with the

highest members of the Guardian's office to the effect that

the Fair Game Doctrine, of course, was never cancelled

and had been implemented for years.

the office of a psychiatrist, to tape record conversations, to cull PC files and use the information to blackmail people.

He himself directly particularly in an operation against these people called the Hartwells when they returned to Las Vegas because of their proximity to L. Ron Hubbard, to cull PC files, tape record conversations of Mr. Hartwell,

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

28

and then take the tape and splice out portions of the tape which was then taken to the police to make it appear that Mr. Hartwell was extorting money from the organization.

He has direct personal knowledge, both as an operative of the Guardian's office that Fair Game was never has cancelled, and he also/direct knowledge in the way of the policy of the organization to the effect that the Fair Game doctrine was never cancelled.

In addition to that, he was dealing, unlike Miss Sullivan and Mr. Armstrong, dealing with public Scientologists for a period of 10 years and he saw Scientologists pay literally hundreds of thousands of dollars based upon representations about L. Ron Hubbard's background that they particularly relied on, and the proof of the fact that the L. Ron Hubbard background was probably the most significant thing to a person who was paying this money was the fact that when the Hartwells left Mr. Hubbard in La Quinta and they began to disseminate information about Mr. Hubbard and the type of person he was as they observed when they were with him in La Quinta, many Guardian's office operations were mounted against the Hartwells because the information was spreading in Las Vegas at the time that Mr. Hubbard was the type of person in reality that he was perceived to be by the Hartwells and people began to leave the organization when they realized the type of individual they were dealing with.

So, those two items are the essence of his testimony. He also sold L. Ron Hubbard's background and he

4 5

saw thousands of people rely on it and pay hundreds of thousands of dollars in reliance on it.

THE COURT: Well it doesn't sound like it would be very brief. Sounds like it would be rather extensive.

MR. HARRIS: Also, just in terms of the defense which goes to Mr. Armstrong's state of mind, unless there was a prerequisite established that Mr. Armstrond had spoken to this gentleman before he left or before he sent these items, it doesn't seem like it would be relevant.

THE COURT: Well, we will take a short recess and you can get this other witness, Mr. Spurlock, and we will deal with that.

I would think that if I were to permit this witness to testify, I'd limit it to the issue of whether or not Pair Game was revoked or not revoked. It would tend to corroborate defense witnesses in the facts and issues and it would be something that would become, I suppose, consistent with your statement that that you were not aware that that was going to be a position taken.

But I think to go into all of these other areas, it seems to me rather cumulative and undue consumption of time.

After all, we have been at this thing for four weeks now and I think we ought to be winding down, I would hope.

MR. FLYNN: My prognosis is, Your Honor, I have got
Mr. Spurlock probably 30 to 40 minutes, Nancy Dincalci
probably half an hour and Mr. Garrison approximately half

an hour.

The problem I have with Mr. Garrison is he can't be here until 9 o'clock tomorrow morning.

THE COURT: I have got a feeling you are going to be occupied until then.

MR. LITT: Could we, while we are sort of having this exchange, there have been some other names that have been mentioned.

Is Mr. Flynn representing that they are not going to be called at this time?

There's been Michael Douglas and Kima Douglas mentioned, and there's been Bill Franks mentioned concerning whom there had been discussion of a deposition. Mr. Flynn left out all of those names.

THE COURT: With regard to Miss Douglas and
Mr. Franks, I am going to wait to see what the rebuttal case
is, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. We will take 10 minutes then.
(Recess.)

--112

4 5

THE COURT: Very well. We are back in session.

Counsel are all present.

You may call your next witness.

MR. FLYNN: Thank you, Your Honor.

Mr. Spurlock, please.

MR. HELLER: Good morning, Your Honor. My name is Larry Heller. I'll be representing the witness Mr. Lyman Spurlock during his testimony.

Your Honor may recall my name from a review of the files. At one time I was the attorney for the Church of Scientology and, in fact, obtained the temporary restraining order and the temporary injunction. I am very familiar with the issues herein and have been updated on the occurrences procedurally that have occurred since I left as counsel of record for the church.

THE COURT: What about the church's position? There could be a conflict of interest, I presume.

MR. HARRIS: My position in respect to Mr. Spurlock, Your Honor, is that Mr. Flynn is calling him based upon data he has received which is attorney-client privileged and that the witness as such -- if we were to use an analogy, fruit of the poisonous tree -- in respect to Mr. Spurlock, he did work for the Church of Scientology of California for a period of time pre-1980. So I don't know what is going to be asked. That is partly the problem.

THE COURT: The only point I raised is whether or not you have any objection on behalf of the church to a former attorney of your client apparently counseling with this

witness as he testifies.

MR. HARRIS: No, not as such.

THE COURT: Swear the witness.

THE CLERK: Raise your right hand to be sworn.

LYMAN SPURLOCK,

called as a witness by the defense, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE CLERK: Take the witness stand.

THE COURT: If you want to counsel with your lawyer at any time, sir, just advise me. But he has no right to object or to raise any objections. But if you want to counsel with him with regard to any questions that counsel or any counsel asks you, you may do so.

MR. HELLER: Your Honor, I have been retained by Mr. Spurlock because he has some concern relating to privileges which may vest in his principal, who was his principal at the time, Mr. L. Ron Hubbard. And he has retained me to make sure there is not an inadvertent or purposeful waiver of those privileges.

27

THE COURT: Well you certainly can consult with him and advise him to assert a privilege, but the privilege is his to assert or his principal's. It is not yours individually. You have no standing in this lawsuit as an attorney to object to any questions.

MR. HELLER: May I stand by the witness?

THE COURT: Yes.

THE CLERK: Will you state and spell your name?

THE WITNESS: Lyman Spurlock

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. FLYNN:

- Q Where do you live, Mr. Spurlock?
- A In Los Angeles.
 - Q What is your residential address?
- A 1404 North Catalina.
- Q Is that the premises of the Church of

18 Scientology?

- A Yes.
- Q You live on those premises?
- A Yes, I rent a room there.
 - Q Do you pay rent?
- 23 A Yes.
 - Q How much do you pay?
- 25 MR. HARRIS: What is the relevance, Your Honor?
- 26 THE COURT: Well --
 - MR. PLYNN: Just a little background.
 - MR. HARRIS: The background of how much he pays for rent?

1 THE COURT: Do you pay personally pay the rent or 2 does your employer pay your rent? 3 THE WITNESS: I personally pay my rent. 4 THE COURT: You can answer. How much do you pay? 5 THE WITNESS: \$120 a month, I think. 6 Q BY MR. FLYNN: And do you have offices at 7 that location? 8 No. 9 Do you know what corporation owns those Q 10 premises? 11 A No. 12 What is your current occupation? 13 I am deputy executive director of Author 14 Services Incorporated. Deputy executive director for 15 clients affairs specifically. 16 And where are the offices of that corporation, 17 Mr. Spurlock? 18 6463 Sunset Boulevard. A 19 How long have you held that position? Q 20 As deputy executive director? 21 Correct. 22 Since about February 1983. 23 And who is the executive director of that Q 24 organization? 25 Norman Starky. A 26 And what is his title? Q 27 Executive director. 28 Does David Miscavige have a position in that Q

1	organization?
2	A No.
3	Q At any time did he have a position?
4	A From time to time.
5	MR. HARRIS: Objection; irrelevant.
6	THE COURT: Oh, I will let the answer stand.
7	Q BY MR. FLYNN: From time to time?
8	A As a troubleshooter we paid him, yes.
9	Q And what type of troubleshooting did he do?
10	A Various projects. One that stands to mind
11	is we were having trouble getting "Battlefield Earth"
12	distributed into the bookstores, and he acted as a trouble-
13	shooter to get that done.
14	Q Is he the communications link between Author
15	Services Inc. and L. Ron Hubbard?
16	MR. HARRIS: Objection; irrelevant.
17	THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer.
18	MR. HELLER: I think I'd like a moment to confer
19	with my client, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Yes.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

12£

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, could we have the question -THE COURT: Read the question, please.

THE REPORTER: The question was taken by the other reporter, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The question was was David Miscavige the communications link between Author Services and LRH.

THE WITNESS: Sometimes yes and sometimes no. It is not -- no. The --

If your question means is he the absolute communications link between L. Ron Hubbard and Author Services, the answer is no.

MR. FLYNN: That was not the question.

THE COURT: Then I misstated it.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: Between February, 1980 and the present time has he been the communications link between L. Ron Hubbard and Author Services Inc.?

MR. HARRIS: I'll object to that as irrelevant and calling for a conclusion.

THE COURT: Well, when did Author Services Inc. come into existence? He could have been the communicator before that time.

MR. PLYNN: I'll withdraw it and back up a little bit, Your Honor.

- Q Before you were the Deputy Executive Director for Author Services Inc. what was your position in that organization if any, Mr. Spurlock?
 - A Corporate Affairs Director.
 - Q And when did you take on that post?

1	A In March of 1982.
2	Q And when did you take on the post of Deputy
3	Executive Director?
4	MR. LITT: Objection. Asked and answered.
5	Q BY MR. FLYNN: That is February, 1983; is that
6	correct?
7	A Correct.
8	Q Do you know when Author Services Inc. was
9	incorporated?
10	A I believe it was somewhere around October, 1981.
11	Q Did you play any role in the incorporation of
12	that organization?
13	A No, I didn't.
14	Q Do you know who the incorporators were?
15	A I believe it was Ron Pook.
16	Q Was he the only incorporator?
17	A I don't know.
18	Q Is he currently with the organization?
19	A No.
20	Ω Do you know who the present Board of Directors
21	of the organization are?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Who?
24	A Norman Starky; Fran Harris; John Alcock.
25	Q Have you ever been a member of the Board of
26	Directors of Author Services Inc.?
27	A No.
28	Q Do you know whether or not those individuals have

1	signed undated letters of resignation?
2	MR. HARRIS: It is irrelevant, Your Honor. Object.
3	THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
4	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Prior to March, 1982 what was your
5	position, Mr. Spurlock?
6	A Immediately prior? Way prior? Do you mean
7	Q Within the prior year.
8	A Within the prior year I worked for Church of
9	Scientology of California.
10	Q In what position?
11	A Investment Officer International.
12	Q What were your duties in that position?
13	A I had a variety of duties.
14	I was basically an assistant to WDC on investments
15	and financial matters.
16	Q Did you basically invest the church's monies?
17	MR. HARRIS: Church of Scientology of California
18	monies?
19	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Church of Scientology of California;
20	is that what you did?
21	A I didn't do it personally.
22	Q You advised as to investments of the Church of
23	Scientology of California's monies; is that correct?
24	A That would be a fair statement.
25	Q Were those called "Sea Org Reserves"?
26	A Yes.
27	Q And how long did you hold that position?
28	A From about April, 1979 to November, 1981.
A COLUMN	[2] 사용하는 사용하는 전에 있는 전에 있는 것은 경험을 가지 않는 것은 사용하는 것은

1	Q In November, 1981 what position did you hold
2	after Investment Officer International of CSC?
3	A I went to work for R accounts or LRH through
4	R accounts to assist in some estate planning matters. There
5	was no formal title.
6	THE COURT: Is this Author Services now a profit
7	corporation or nonprofit corporation?
8	THE WITNESS: It is a profit corporation.
9	THE COURT: Who were the shareholders if you know?
10	THE WITNESS: The employees. I don't know the exact
11	breakdown.
12	THE COURT: What employees?
13	THE WITNESS: Pardon me?
14	THE COURT: What employees?
15	THE WITNESS: Of Author Services.
16	THE COURT: Does that include you?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	THE COURT: How many employees does the company have?
19	THE WITNESS: 24, 25.
20	THE COURT: They are each shareholders?
21	THE WITNESS: No.
22	THE COURT: How many employees are shareholders?
23	THE WITNESS: I really don't know.
24	THE COURT: Is LRH a shareholder?
25	THE WITNESS: No.
26	THE COURT: Does anybody hold shares in his behalf to
27	your knowledge?
28	THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: How about Mary Sue; is she a shareholder? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Does anybody hold shares in her behalf, if you know? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Any trust that holds the shares? THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: You may continue, counsel. BY MR. FLYNN: There is no trust, Mr. Spurlock, that holds any shares on behalf of L. Ron Hubbard; is that your testimony? That is right.

-1		
	1	MR. LITT: Is this in Author Services?
	2	Q BY MR. FLYNN: In Author Services, Inc.; is
	3	that correct?
	4	A That is correct.
	5	Q Who appointed you to your position?
	6	MR. HARRIS: Which position, Your Honor?
	7	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Your current position.
	8	A Deputy executive director?
	9	Q Correct.
	10	A Norm Starky.
	11	Q Who appointed Mr. Starky to his position?
	12	MR. HARRIS: Objection; calls for a conclusion.
	13	Q BY MR. FLYNN: If you know.
	14	THE COURT: If you know.
	15	MR. HARRIS: Or hearsay, one or the other.
	16	THE COURT: If you know, you can answer. If you
	17	don't, you can so state.
	18	THE WITNESS: I really don't know.
	19	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Now, how many clients does
	20	Author Services, Inc. have?
	21	MR. HARRIS: Objection; that is irrelevant.
	22^	THE COURT: Overruled.
	23	THE WITNESS: L. Ron Hubbard is the significant
	24	client.
	25	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Are there any others?
	26	A One at the present time, I think.
	27	Q Is the other one a corporation or an individual?
	28	MR. HARRIS: Objection1; irrelevant, Your Honor.

I believe C S T did.

13-2

THE COURT: Are the archives owned at this time by Author Services Inc.?

THE WITNESS: No, sir.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: Who owns the archives, Mr. Spurlock?

THE COURT: If you know.

MR. HARRIS: Well, who owns, calls for a legal conclusion; who is in possession doesn't.

THE COURT: Well I would disagree with you. I think either concept is a legal concept. This witness should have knowledge at least so far as Author Services. I don't know whether he has knowledge of who owns the archives other than perhaps LRH. I don't know.

Do you have any personal knowledge?

THE WITNESS: I can tell you this much: The question of ownership of individual archives items has never been fully sorted out.

Church of Spiritual Technology has possession of the archives at this time and its job is to preserve them and the question of ownership, I suppose, would revolve around who paid for Xerox materials at certain times. I mean, it is this big massive material, many, many filing cabinets full and sorting out the individual ownership of each item would be a task which we haven't taken on yet, but C S T does have possession of them and responsibility for them.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: Let me ask you this: Essentially since November 1981, Mr. Spurlock, have you treated

	#CANCES TO NOTE HER CONTROL OF THE
1	the archives that Mr. Armstrong collected as an asset of
2	L. Ron Hubbard?
3	A I haven't treated them one way or another,
4	Mr. Flynn.
5	Q Have you considered them yourself to be an
6	asset of L. Ron Hubbard?
7	MR. HARRIS: Well, I will object to that as irrelevant
8	THE COURT: Well, overruled.
9	Let's put it this way: Does Author Services
10	consider them as an asset of Author Services?
11	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
12	Q BY MR. PLYNN: Does Author Services Inc.
13	consider them to be an asset of L. Ron Hubbard?
14	A I have never addressed that question.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

	[18] 전 경기에 가는 보고 있으면 하는 하는데 하지 않는 그래요 없는 요요한 하는 사람들이 되어 되는 것이다. 그런 사람들이 얼마나 아니는
1	Q Have you exercised any supervisory authority over
2	the Church of Spiritual Technology in connection with the
3	possession of the archives?
4	A Yes, I have.
5	Q On behalf of L. Ron Hubbard?
6	A On behalf of the Church of Spiritual Technology.
7	Q Are you an officer or a director of that
8	corporation?
9	A Yes, I am.
10	Q And have you signed an undated letter of
11	resignation in connection with your position with that
12	corporation?
13	A No, I haven't.
14	Q Have you been on the board of directors of any
15	other corporations, Mr. Spurlock, involving Church of
16	Scientology corporations?
17	A No.
18	Q Only the Church of Spiritual Technology?
19	A On the Board of Directors, yes.
20	Q How about Religious Technology; at any time were
21	you on the Board of Directors?
22	A No.
23	Q Were you an incorporator?
24	A I believe I was.
25	Q Who were the other members of the Board of
26	Directors of the Church of Spiritual Technology?
27	A Greg Wilhare. I can't remember who the
28	secretary is. I can't remember.

1 It is one of those things, "Here is my sister," 2 you know, kind of a mental lapse. 3 Now, prior to November, 1981 did you do anything 4 in connection with investing or managing any assets of 5 L. Ron Hubbard? 6 No, I didn't. A 7 Do you know an individual named Michael Smith? Q 8 A Yes. 9 And he was in charge of L. Ron Hubbard's accounts; 10 is that correct, prior to November of 1981? 11 That is correct. A 12 And did you take over his position? 0 13 A No, I didn't. 14 Do you know who did? Q 15 A Jim Isaacson. 16 Do you know a Michael Douglas? 17 Yes, I do. A 18 And in January, 1980 did you assume any 19 responsibilities from Michael Douglas in connection with 20 investing any of L. Ron Hubbard's monies? 21 A No. 22 Who did Jim Isaacson work for, if you know, in 23 November of 1981? 24 MR. HARRIS: I'll object to that as calling for a 25 conclusion. 26 THE COURT: If you know you can state it; if you don't 27 know, you can so state. Don't guess. 28

THE WITNESS: What time was that?

1	Q BY MR. FLYNN: November, 1981.
2	A My answer would be the Hubbards.
3	Q And do you know whether at that time he received
4	a weekly allowance from the Church of Scientology of
5	California?
6	MR. HARRIS: Objection. Calls for a conclusion.
7	THE COURT: If you have personal knowledge, you can
8	so state; if you don't, you can so state, but don't guess.
9	THE WITNESS: I don't have any personal knowledge.
10	Q BY MR. FLYNN: In November, 1981 were you
11	receiving a personal allowance from the Church of Scientology
12	of California?
13	A No, I wasn't.
14	Q When was the last time you received such an
15	allowance?
16	A When I left.
17	Q When was that?
18	A Either late October, early November, 1981.
19	Q And between November, 1981 and March, 1982 did
20	you get paid?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Who paid you?
23	A Jim Isaacson.
24	Q And how much did you receive for pay,
25	Mr. Spurlock?
26	MR. HARRIS: Objection. Irrelevant.
27	It invades the witness' privacy.
28	THE COURT: I'll sustain it.

1	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Did your pay change in amount
2	between late October, 1981 when you say you last worked for
3	CSC and November, '81 when you went to work for R accounts?
4	MR. HARRIS: I'll object. Irrelevant.
5	MR. HELLER: It also relates to his privacy and
6	background. I'll join in the objection on the basis of his
7	background, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: I won't consider you as having any standing,
9	sir, to raise an objection.
10	However, I don't see the relevancy. I'll sustain
11	the objection.
12	MR. FLYNN: If I may be heard, Your Honor, the relevancy
13	goes to
14	THE COURT: If you have to think about it, it can't
15	be very relevant.
16	MR. FLYNN: If it remained the same, it would show an
17	identity of interest.
18	THE COURT: I don't think his interest is the crux of
19	this lawsuit.
20	I'll sustain the objection.
21	Are you a member of Sea Org?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, I am.
23	THE COURT: For a billion years?
24	THE WITNESS: That's right.
25	Q BY MR. FLYNN: And in connection with your billion-
26	year contract, Mr. Spurlock, have you signed releases releasing
27	L. Ron Hubbardfrom any liability in connection with any Sea
28	Org responsibilities or duties?

MR. HARRIS: I'll object to that as irrelevant. THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. BY MR. FLYNN: Between February, 1980 and the present time have you or Author Services Inc. communicated with L. Ron Hubbard?

	2	
	3	
	0	
	4	
	5	
	0	
	6	
	7	
	'	
	8	
	9	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	13	
	16	
	17	
1	11	
1		
	18	
	19	
	13	
	20	

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

MR. HARRIS: Well, I will object to that, Your Honor, as number one, compound. THE COURT: Well, I will sustain the objection. It is compound. this word "communicating," of course, is subject to ambiguity, also. Was he receiving communications from a messenger? Did he meet him personally? Did somebody telephone him? BY MR. FLYNN: In May 1982 was Author Services Inc. in communication with L. Ron Hubbard? MR. FLYNN: Well --BY MR. FLYNN: By any means? MR. HARRIS: That calls for a conclusion and also communication is ambiguous. THE COURT: Well, that is pretty broad. I will overrule the objection. If he was your client, did you communicate with him or he communicate with you or is this a client that you never communicate with? THE WITNESS: No, we were in communication.

BY MR. FLYNN: In May 1982?

That is correct.

And at that time Author Services, Inc. was involved in the preparation of a will and a trust for Mr. Hubbard; is that correct?

MR. HARRIS: Well, I will object to that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection.

MR. FLYNN: Well, Your Honor, this goes to the statement of Mrs. Hubbard that she knows of no one who is in communication with her husband.

MR. LITT: That was not her testimony.

THE COURT: The fact that she might not know doesn't mean that somebody else might not know.

MR. LITT: To clarify the words, her testimony was that she knows of no one who has seen her husband.

THE COURT: I still say the fact that she doesn't know doesn't mean somebody else might not know. In other words, she doesn't have all knowledge of communications, I presume.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: Is it fair to say, Mr. Spurlock, that since at least May 1982 you have caused to prepare and to transmit to L. Ron Hubbard weekly reports concerning his financial affairs?

MR. HARRIS: I will object to that as irrelevant as the defendant left on December 12, 1981. What happened after that insofar as communications with L. Ron Hubbard is irrelevant.

THE COURT: Well, in a sense it is and in a sense it is not. You have introduced evidence here showing that he was unvailable. Concededly the court hasn't given it much consideration in this trial.

They have always said they wanted to call him as a witness. It is kind of late in the day. I will overrule this objection to this question anyway.

Did you have weekly communications with him,

28

1	sir?
2	THE WITNESS: Not at the present time, no.
3	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Well in February 1983 and prior
4	thereto back to May 1982 were you preparing and sending
5	weekly reports to Mr. Hubbard concerning his financial
6	affairs?
7	MR. HARRIS: Same objection.
8	THE COURT: Overruled. Preparation as distinguished
9	from actually getting them to him.
10	THE WITNESS: Yes. At that period of time, yes.
11	Q BY MR. FLYNN: And how were you transmitting
12	those to him, Mr. Spurlock?
13	A In writing.
14	MR. HARRIS: I will object; irrelevant.
15	THE COURT: Well, overruled.
16	Q BY MR. FLYNN: And by what means did you
17	transmit them to him?
18	MR. HARRIS: Same objection.
19	THE COURT: Overruled.
20	MR. HARRIS: Just so we are clear, can I have a
21	continuing objection to the communication line to
22	Mr. Hubbard?
23	THE COURT: Yes.
24	MR. HARRIS: After Mr. Armstrong left the church?
25	THE COURT: Yes.
26	

Very simple question. How did you attempt to communicate with him?

A The weekly communications were gathered up,

1	put in a banker's box and picked up.
2	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Who picked them up?
3	A I don'tknow.
4	THE COURT: The tooth fairy maybe.
5	MR. FLYNN: Your Honor will note that the letter
6	that has been marked as exhibit 1 is dated February 3, 1983.
7	Q BY MR. FLYNN: So at that time you were
8	transmitting weekly reports concerning Mr. Hubbard's
9	financial affairs; is that correct?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And did you ever see any individual who picked
12	those reports up for transmission to Mr. Hubbard?
13	A I don't recall. I mean, no.
14	Q Did David Miscavige represent to you at that
15	time that he was transmitting communications to Mr. Hubbard?
16	MR. HARRIS: I will object. That calls for hearsay
17	and also a conclusion about what a representation is.
18	THE COURT: Overruled.
19	THE WITNESS: No, he didn't represent it to me one way
20	or the other.
21	Q BY MR. FLYNN: At that time was David Miscavige
22	notorizing documents that were transmitted to you, Mr. Spurloc
23	in which he notorized Mr. Hubbard's name?
24	MR. HARRIS: I will object as irrelevant, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Well, overruled. If you know, you can
26	answer.
27	THE WITNESS: I have seen his notary on documents,
28	yes.

-Sf

26

27

28

1 will object to that.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: And that was between May 1982 and February 1983; is that correct?

I have seen his notary on documents.

THE COURT: I think the question had to do with documents that were signed by L. Ron Hubbard.

THE WITNESS: Right, but I don't know about the time period.

BYMR. FLYNN: Well, after you began work at Author Services Inc., you saw David Miscavige's notary notorizing L. Ron Hubbard's signature; is that correct?

That is correct.

And did you understand Mr. Miscavige to be in the presence of L. Ron Hubbard when that was done? MR. HARRIS: Well, Your Honor, "understand." I

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection.

BY MR. FLYNN: Did you rely as a deputy executive director for Author Services Inc. on that notorization?

MR. HARRIS: I will object to that as irrelevant, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well I will sustain the objection.

BY MR. FLYNN: You know that David Miscavige was the head of the Commodore's Messenger Org at some time, Mr. Spurlock; is that correct?

A No, I don't know that at all.

1 counsel. I'll sustain the objection. 3 BY MR. FLYNN: Do your duties as Deputy Executive 4 Director of Author Services Inc. overlap with your duties 5 regarding the Church of Spiritual Technology in connection 6 with the supervision of the Hubbard Archives? 7 In what sense? A 8 In any sense. 9 I don't understand the question. A 10 Have you done anything to protect the archives 11 since November, 1981 as an asset of L. Ron Hubbard? 12 Not specifically, no. A 13 Generally? 14 Generally, I am interested in the protection of 15 the archives. 16 As assets of L. Ron Hubbard? 17 As priceless historical scriptures of the Church 18 of Scientology. 19 And not as assets of L. Ron Hubbard; is that your Q 20 testimony? 21 MR. HARRIS: In which role? That is the problem. It 22 is ambiguous, Your Honor. 23 MR. FLYNN: That is the problem, Your Honor. He has 24 dual roles. 25 THE COURT: Did you have anything to do with the filing 26 of this lawsuit? 27 THE WITNESS: No, sir. 28

BY MR. FLYNN: Mr. Spurlock, in any way in

Not to my knowledge. THE COURT: Do you hold a post in the Sea Org? THE WITNESS: I don't. Sea Org isn't a post per se. THE COURT: You don't have a post within the Sea Org? THE WITNESS: No, sir. I have a rank. I have a standing, but not a post. THE COURT: What is your rank and standing? THE WITNESS: My standing would be a Sea Org member in good standing with the Sea Org. My rank is lieutenant. Q BY MR. FLYNN: Now, Author Services Inc. collects all the income for Mr. Hubbard; is that correct? MR. HARRIS: Objection. Irrelevant. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. FLYNN: In April, 1982, Mr. Wilhite --Mr. Spurlock, did you meet with Mr. Wilhite? Not that I recall.

_		
1 -1	1	Q Did you have any conversations with a
<u></u>	2	Mr. Wilhite?
	3	A Not that I recall.
	4	Q Do you know of Virgil Wilhite?
_	5	
-	6	I'd know him to see him.
	7	Q Are you an attorney?
_	8	A No, I am not.
	9	Q Prior to April 1982 did you meet with Mr. Wilhite?
	10	THE COURT: If you know.
_	11	THE WITNESS: Not to my recall.
	12	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Have you ever met with Mr. Wilhite
	13	A Not to my recall.
-	14	Q Have you ever talked to Mr. Wilhite on the
	15	phone?
	16	A Not to my recall.
7	17	Q In the spring of 1982 did certain photographs
	18	come into your possession that were in the custody of
	19	Mr. Armstrong?
-	20	A Certain photographs crossed my desk. I don't
	21	know if they came into my possession or not.
	22	Q And when did they cross your desk?
_	23	A First few months in 1982.
	24	Q Where was your desk at the time?
-	25	A At the Cedars Complex.
	26	THE COURT: Were they just blowing in the wind as
	27	they flew by?
	28	THE WITNESS: I didn't know what the word "possession"

meant. Somebody showed them to me. They were laying on my desk for a few minutes. I looked at them. THE COURT: Fine. Q BY MR. FLYNN: And your position at that time was R accounts or were you working for Author Services Inc.? I believe that was before Author Services Inc. was activated. Author Services Inc. was activated in May Q 1982? March, April, May, somewhere in there. A And who brought them to your desk? Q I believe it was Ron Pook. A

1	Q And where did Mr. Pook get them if you know?
2	A I don't know.
3	Q And what did you do with them?
4	A I looked at them.
5	Q What else did you do?
6	A I handed them over to somebody else.
7	Q To whom, Mr. Spurlock?
8	A I think it was Terry Gamboa.
9	Q And what was Terry Gamboa's position at
10	that time?
11	A She was working on a special project.
12	Q What project was that?
13	A Called Special Project.
14	Q What did that project relate to?
15	A Sorting out the GO, getting rid of the
16	criminals.
17	Q Did she succeed?
18	A I believe so.
19	Q One of the criminals was Mary Sue Hubbard?
20	A She went to jail.
21	THE COURT: That wasn't the question.
22	MR. LITT: I am going to object, Your Honor.
23	Mrs. Hubbard had resigned her position as she testified well
24	before the events that are being discussed here.
25	THE COURT: Maybe so. The question was was Mary Sue
26	Hubbard one of those, if you know.
27	THE WITNESS: I only know what happened at the trials.
28	THE COURT: Well, you said well, people who are

1 criminals are only those who are convicted; is that what 2 you are saying? 3 THE WITNESS: I think maybe I better clarify it. It 4 is a broader term in Scientology. 5 THE COURT: That is what I thought. 6 THE WITNESS: Criminal in Scientology would basically 7 be defined as somebody who wants something for nothing, so 8 to the degree that somebody was a -- shirked his duties, 9 was lazy or did not fulfill his obligations as a staff 10 member, was letting the group down, he might be considered 11 a criminal in a much broader context and a more philosophical 12 context than the law defines a criminal. 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 BY MR. FLYNN: And the wife of L. Ron 15 Hubbard who had worked for the organization for 30 years 16 was considered such by you, Mr. Spurlock? 17 MR. HARRIS: Well, objection. It is irrelevant what 18 he considered it. 19 This line of questioning went to what was 20 Terry Gamboa doing. 21 THE COURT: I will sustain the objection. 22 BY MR. FLYNN: Now, Miss Gamboa, does she 23 currently work for Author Services Inc.? 24 Yes, she does. 25 And prior to working for Author Services Inc. 0 26 do you know what her position was? 27 She worked on the special project. A 28 And you know her to be the ex-wife of

1	Gerald Armstrong; is that correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Did you have any conversations with Miss Gambo
4	as to what she did with the photographs after you gave them
5	to her?
6	A No.
7	Q Now at that time in the special project was
8	she working for R accounts or was she working for the
9	Church of Scientology of California?
10	A I don't have any personal knowledge of that.
11	Q Did she begin work for Author Services, Inc.
12	about the same time you did?
13	A When Author Services, Inc. was activated, she
14	came into Author Services, Inc., right.
15	Q And so that was in, as you have stated it,
16	March, April, May 1982; is that correct?
17	A Somewhere in that vicinity.
18	Q So at the time the photographs came into her
19	possession she was working for Author Services, Inc. for
20	L. Ron Hubbard?
21	A I don't know.
22	MR. HARRIS: I will object to the question.
23	THE COURT: All right, sustain the objection.
24	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Did you issue any orders with
25	respect to the photographs?
26	A No, it wasn't really my area. The answer is
27	no.
28	TUP COUDT. Was there anything said while they were

showing these pictures to you? Ron Pook told me that Armstrong wanted to sell these through Virgil Wilhite at the Flag land base. ?? THE COURT: Well, what did you say? THE WITNESS: I thought it was outrageous. THE COURT: What else did you say? THE WITNESS: I said I didn't want it to happen. I mean, that is my recall, my feelings at that time. THE COURT: Anybody else say anything at the time? I take this statement of yours was not an order on your part to anybody? THE WITNESS: Expression of personal outrage.

18£

8. 3

18-3 1 THE WITNESS: No, I don't. 2 THE COURT: When was the last time you knew? THE WITNESS: Whenever it happened in 1982. It was 3 4 just a few minutes that they were on my desk. 5 BY MR. FLYNN: In connection with your testimony here today have you met with Mr. Harris? 6 7 A Yes. 8 Have you been referred to as Warrant Officer Lyman 9 Spurlock? 10 A Yes, I have. 11 Was that in November, 1982 after you were working 12 for Author Services Inc.? 13 A Yes. 14 And at that time were you acting as the Corporate 15 Affairs Director of the Church of Scientology? 16 No, I wasn't. 17 And were you referred to as the Corporate Affairs 18 Director at that time? 19 THE COURT: If you know. 20 THE WITNESS: At the mission holders event I was 21 introduced as Corporate Affairs Director. 22 BY MR. FLYNN: And did you give a speech in 23 connection with the sorting out of corporate affairs of the 24 Church of Scientology in November, 1982? 25 A Yes, I did. 26 Now, at the time, Mr. Spurlock, in connection 27 with your title Corporate Affairs Director at this mission 28 holders meeting was it your view that the corporations which

comprised the corporations of the Church of Scientology were a bunch of whole spaghetti?

A My -- I can answer that.

My view was that prior to 1981 the corporation

Church of Scientology of California had become unduly complex because it had numerous diverse ecclesiastical subunits within it.

Q And was it your view at that time that in order to make the corporate structure impregnable in regards to the IRS, to change the structure of those sub-units as you just testified?

MR. HARRIS: His personal opinion, Your Honor, or what he said?

THE COURT: Well, I don't know. Personal view; was that your question? Personal view?

Q BY MR. FLYNN: As corporate affairs director or having that title at the time, was it your view that the restructuring of the sub-units as you put it was to make the whole structure impregnable, especially as regards to the IRS?

A Sure.

THE COURT: What did you mean by that?

THE WITNESS: There had been a history of difficulty communicating the corporate structure of the church to the IRS because there was this confusing Church of Scientology sitting in the middle of it in order to clarify the eclesiastical versus the corporate structure. In other words, what the eclesiastical lines of authority were and how they related to the organization in order to communicate it better to the IRS, it was necessary to present a clear corporate structure to the IRS which was one of the goals of the sort-out which was an outgrowth of MCCS.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: When you gave this speech, you referred to an outline structure of the Church of Scientology and an outline structure of the new corporate structure

1 which would be impregnable to the IRS. 2 "Impregnable to the IRS" is one comment in 3 this whole thing. The whole thing was to sort it out so 4 it makes sense. 5 The question is, Mr. Spurlock, did you use 0 these two outlines for the structure as it existed and the 6 7 structure as it was changed? 8 That's right. A 9 And that was in November 1982; is that correct? 10 That was the date of my speech or October or 11 November 1982. 12 0 And that was the date that you used the two 13 structures that I have placed in front of you; is that correct? 14 MR. HARRIS: Could we have that marked? 15 MR. FLYNN: May that be marked as next in order. 16 THE COURT: The whole works or just the two pages? 17 MR. FLYNN: Why don't we mark the two pages. 18 MR. HARRIS: Why don't we mark the whole bunch, Your 19 Honor. 20 MR. FLYNN: Fine with me. 21 THE COURT: Quadruple E. 22 MR. FLYNN: I will offer it into evidence. 23 MR. HARRIS: I have an objection at this point. 24 When I get finished, I might not, Mr. Flynn. 25 THE COURT: We will defer ruling on it. 26 BY MR. FLYNN: With regard to chart 1, 27 that laid out the structure of the organization before 28 making it impregnable to the IRS; is that correct?

MR. HELLER: Well, if, in fact, there is not going 1 to be an objection from the Church of Scientology, I am 2 3 going to have to speak up. That is a statement taken out of context which has not been identified. 4 He can be asked very simply whether this is the 5 structure that existed prior to 1982. 6 MR. HARRIS: I will adopt that. 7 THE COURT: I will have to sustain the objection of 8 the church. 9 BY MR. FLYNN: Well, you adopted the statement, 10 Mr. Spurlock, that it was to make it impregnable to the 11 12 IRS? MR. LITT: Asked and answered. 13 THE WITNESS: No, I made that statement, but it is 14 like you are trying to twist it around to, "Do you still 15 16 beat your wife?" The question implies to me that prior to this 17 corporate sort-out the Church of Scientology was vulnerable 18 19 to the IRS, the corporate structure was vulnerable to the 20 IRS, and I am not conceding that at all. BY MR. FLYNN: Mr. Spurlock, I am simply 21 22 using your words. 23 THE COURT: Let's go on to a different question. Q BY MR. FLYNN: With regard to chart 1, was 24 25 that the structure which you spoke about in November 1982 as being a structure that had existed prior to the sort-out? 26 27 Yes. A 28 And with regard to chart 2, was that the

structure after the sort-out? That is correct. A Now in chart 1 there is no notation under Church of Scientology of California for any group called the Personal Office of L. Ron Hubbard; is there? Not on that chart, no.

of

Q And there is no notation for the Housekeeping Unit or the Household Unit; is there?

A Not in that chart, no.

Q Nor is there a notation for a unit called PDOI; is there?

A Not on this chart, no.

If you'll read the text of my speech, Mr. Flynn, you'll find that I was on the blackboard drawing these in.

And I did this for purposes of illustration of how many ecclesiastical units were contained within CSC.

At the end of that I said, "I have run out of room, but I haven't run out of Orgs."

So the fact that those are omitted here does not mean that they are not contained within the Church of Scientology of California which, per my understanding, they, indeed, were.

Q This was subsequently typed up and distributed throughout the world, was it not, Mr. Spurlock?

MR. HARRIS: "This" referring to the whole of the exhibit?

MR. FLYNN: The whole of the exhibit.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: Let me ask you a question about whether or not the document that you have in front of you is a full transcription of everything that was said at this speech or was it edited?

A I don't know.

Q Did you play any role of any nature or description

1 in any editing process? 2 No. I may have -- I may have been asked to check 3 my speech for typos or something, but I didn't edit it. 4 And with regard to Chart No. 2, there is no 5 notation in Chart 2 with regard to the personal office of 6 L. Ron Hubbard; is that correct? 7 That is correct. A 8 And there is no notation in Chart 2 with regard 9 to the Housekeeping Unit or the Household Unit or PDOI; is 10 that correct? 11 That is correct. A 12 Now, were you present throughout the speeches 13 of Mr. Miscavige and Mr. Marlowe? 14 A Yes, I was. 15 Was Mr. Miscavige -- incidentally, Mr. Heller 16 spoke at that meeting; is that correct? 17 That is correct. 18 And at that meeting Mr. Heller stated that the 19 trademarks of L. Ron Hubbard had been donated to the Religious 20 Technology Center; is that correct? 21 A That is correct. 22 Did you play any role in the donation of those 23 trademarks, Mr. Spurlock? 24 A Yes. 25 And did you see a notarized document from Q 26 Mr. Hubbard donating the trademarks? 27 A Yes, I did. 28

What was the date on the notarized statement?

The state of the s	
1	A May 12, I believe.
2	Q Of 1982?
3	A That is right.
4	Q Now, Mr. Hubbard executed a will in May of 1982;
5	did he not?
6	MR. HARRIS: I object to that as irrelevant.
7	THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
8	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Did you agree with Mr. Marlowe
9	and Mr. Miscavige that the Religious Technology Center had
10	assumed control over Churches of Scientology throughout the
11	world?
12	MR. HARRIS: I'll object to that question, Your Honor,
13	as assuming a fact not in evidence, number one.
14	Number two, the agreement I don't know what
15	that means. Did they all sit around and say "I agree, I agree
16	I agree," or whatever it is. It is ambiguous.
17	THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
18	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Mr. Spurlock, during the speech
19	of Mr. Miscavige and Mr. Marlowe do you recall that the new
20	leadership in Scientology was referred to as a bunch of
21	ruthless Sea Org members?
22	MR. HARRIS: I'll object to that, Your Honor, as
23	hearsay.
24	THE COURT: Sustained.
25	Q BY MR. FLYNN: In November, 1982 to your
26	knowledge was there a new breed of management in the Church
27	of Scientology who were ruthless?
28	MR. HARRIS: Is it calling for an opinion, Your Honor,

or a conclusion, or his state of mind? I'm not sure which -or the fact which would be very --THE COURT: I assume it is his opinion. THE WITNESS: I'd like Mr. Flynn to explain what he means by "ruthless," what he means by "ruthless," and what he is trying to impress you by "ruthless." BY MR. FLYNN: On page 7 of the speech of your colleague Mr. Marlowe --Mr. Marlowe is one of your colleagues; is he not? I know Steve Marlowe. Did you agree with Mr. Marlowe that the fact of the matter was you had a new breed of management in the Church; they are tough; they are ruthless --

21-

?1 1

MR. HARRIS: Well, again, "agreement," Your Honor, is ambiguous. If he is calling for his state of mind or opinion, that is fine if it is relevant.

THE COURT: I will let him answer.

THE WITNESS: I will answer with one point of clarification.

Org member or Scientologist, it means somebody who is unreasonable about applying the scriptures exactly as opposed to somebody who is waffling and open-minded about whether or not Scientolgy technology and administrative policy should be followed, so to that degree, yes, I agree there was a new ruthless breed of management.

THE COURT: Well, you say "scriptures." What are scriptures?

THE WITNESS: Those are the writings and recorded word of

L. Ron Hubbard with respect to Scientology.

THE COURT: What about policy memorandums or letters?
THE WITNESS: Policy is part of the scriptures.

THE COURT: Well, for example, the policy of 1967 relating to conditions and penalties, was that a scripture?

THE WITNESS: That would be a scripture.

THE COURT: And the 1968 policy memorandum relating to removal of some of those penalities as it related to non-suppressed persons, was that also scripture?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: So, ruthless, and following that would be somebody who literally follows the scriptures to the T;

1 is that right? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. But the scriptures as a wide 3 body of materials, yes. 4 THE COURT: All right. 5 BY MR. FLYNN: And with regard to the scriptures, a violation of the scriptures would ultimately make the 6 7 person who violated subject to criminal prosecution, 8 Mr. Spurlock? 9 THE COURT: You mean within the church? 10 THE WITNESS: Depends on what scripture he violated. 11 BY MR. FLYNN: Well, with regard to the 12 scriptures that were embodied in the trademark, was everyone 13 at the meeting told that they would be civilly and criminally 14 prosecuted for violating those religious scriptures? 15 MR. HARRIS: Wait a minute. Just a minute. That 16 assumes a fact not in evidence and it is also a little 17 crazy that the scriptures would be incorporated in the 18 trademark. 19 THE COURT: I assume Mr. Flynn has something in the 20 speech. If you have something specific --21 BY MR. FLYNN: With regard to page 2 in the 22 speech of Mr. Heller, your lawyer here today, Mr. Spurlock, 23 was it your understanding that if the religious scriptures 24 as embodied in the trademarks were violated, there would 25 be civil and criminal sanctions? 26 MR. HARRIS: I will object as to his understanding 27 as irrelevant. 28 THE COURT: I don't understand the relevance of it.

I will sustain the objection.

MR. FLYNN: Well it has to do with whether they are scriputural or religious if they are making them subject to criminal prosecution.

MR. HARRIS: Well, trademarks, Your Honor, the subject of trademarks, you have an obligation as an owner or holder of the trademark to insure the integrity and quality of the services delivered and the like, and if you violate; that is, infringe the trademarks at that point you can seek a civil injunction. If the person violates it again, he goes to jail and a judge puts him there, and if that is what Mr. Plynn means, then I will stipulate that if somebody infringes upon trademarks, there is a possible criminal penalty. That is a legal matter.

THE COURT: I am not really sure what it has to do with this lawsuit.

MR. FLYNN: I will withdraw it, Your Honor. I have one more question.

Q Is the scripture of the Church of Scientology.

y that you referred to as embodied in the writings of

L. Ron Hubbard trademarked, Mr. Spurlock, to your knowledge?

A I believe the scriptures are copyrighted,
Mr. Flynn.

Q Well, do any part of the trademarks that are referred to in the last exhibit that has been marked constitute scripture?

MR. HARRIS: Well, I will object to the question as unintelligible, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well --1 2 THE WITNESS: I have --3 THE COURT: I don't know what you refer to "trademarked." 4 I assume that is something that is marketed publicly? 5 MR. FLYNN: Correct. 6 THE WITNESS: Trademarks are the symbols, the words 7 and symbols of the religion. It is the eight-pointed 8 cross. It is an S in the double triangle. I don't have any 9 examples of them here. Those are in my mind very distinct 10 from scriptures. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 MR. FLYNN: I have nothing further. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Litt? 14 MR. LITT: Mr. Harris is going to examine. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Harris? 16 MR. HARRIS: Yes, thank you, Your Honor. 17 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. HARRIS: 20 Mr. Spurlock, how did it happen that you got 21 into the Sea Organization? 22 The short story or the long story? 23 THE COURT: Shore or short? 24 BY MR. HARRIS: Who recruited you? Let's put 25 it that way. 26 Laurel Sullivan, Richard Cohen and Janice A 27 Gillam. 28 And did you speak personally with Laurel Sullivan Q

1	about joining the Sea Organization?
2	A Yes, I did.
3	Q And what did Miss Sullivan tell you at that
4	time about joining the Sea Organization?
5	A She persuaded me that it was a higher purpose
6	than what I was doing, that it would be a very good thing
7	to do. She was recruiting me.
8	Q And did you join the Sea Organization pursuant
9	to what she told you?
10	A Among other things.
11	Q What was your purpose in joining the Sea
12	Organization?
13	MR. FLYNN: Object, Your Honor; irrelevant.
14	THE COURT: I am inclined to sustain the objection.
15	It seems to me that we'd be opening the door to all sorts
16	of further problems. I am sure the witness joined it in
17	good faith and still belongs in good faith, believing in
18	its goals.
19	Q BY MR. HARRIS: Well, when did you join the
20	Sea Organization, Mr. Spurlock?
21	A 1977.
22	Q And what had you been doing prior that?
23	A I was a public Scientologist taking courses at
24	night and on weekends and I had a CPA practice.
25	Q All right. Now, showing you what has been
26	marked plaintiff's exhibit 29, let me ask you if you have
27	previously seen that item?
28	A Yes, I believe I have seen this before.

12£

Q Now, directing your attention to the last page of exhibit 29, I'm going to ask you if at the Mission Holders Conference the chart -- the last exhibit, Your Honor -- You indicated that the chart that is attached

You indicated that the chart that is attached to exhibit EEEE was incomplete?

A Yes.

That is also the text of my speech which is earlier in this exhibit.

- Q Directing your attention to Plaintiff's exhibit 29, up under "C of S of C," will you take a look at that and see if that incorporates the items that Mr. Flynn questioned you about?
 - A Yes, it does.
 - Q PDOI is there?
 - A PDOI is here.
- Q HU?
 - A Yes, it is.
 - Q Prior to -- strike that.

When was this reorganization? When did it actually occur?

- A In late 1981.
- Q And prior to the reorganization does exhibit 29, the last page up at the top where it says "C of S of C," of encompass all of the ecclesiastical units that were housed in the Church of Scientology of California?
 - A Do you mean is this a complete list?
 - Q Yes.
 - A I believe it is, but I don't -- let me look at ...

14-2

1 Q CMO Int; what does that stand for? 2 A Commodores Messengers Organization International. 3 What is that? 4 Another ecclesiastical unit within the Church 5 of Scientology of California. 6 THE COURT: How do you define "ecclesiastical" in that 7 sense? 8 THE WITNESS: Because they deal with matters pertaining 9 to the conduct of a religion. That is what their duties 10 are. 11 THE COURT: What about the Household Unit; is that an 12 ecclesiastical unit? 13 THE WITNESS: I would say so. 14 THE COURT: How does that deal with the religious 15 aspects? In what way? 16 THE WITNESS: Well, providing facilities for the 17 founder so he can be on the premises. 18 THE COURT: Which premises? 19 THE WITNESS: In this case, I think Gilman Hot Springs. 20 Earlier than that, La Quinta. 21 It would be something the church would want to 22 do for its own benefit. 23 THE COURT: Would there be some inurement problem to 24 that? 25 THE WITNESS: I don't believe so. 26 THE COURT: Go ahead. 27 BY MR. HARRIS: Well, is there any relationship 28 between what you have termed the ecclesiastical units and

here which looks like it has the date 1973? Does that appear to be essentially the same up to the end of 1981? In other words, at the end of 1981 was this exhibit 84 what an Org Board would look like? Yes, generally. What about the Guardian Worldwide here? The Guardian -- sometime in 1981 the Guardian was removed. And what happened to the Guardian's Office? It was later disbanded. All right. Now, within exhibit 84 the founder is up at the top here in a box; that is L. Ron Hubbard, I take it? Yes, sir. A And was this Executive Director here, is that a corporate post? No. That is an ecclesiastical post.

1	Q And how about HCO Exec Sec?
2	A That is an ecclesiastical position.
3	Q An Org Exec Sec?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Does that Sec stand for secretary?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And Exec, executive?
8	A Executive.
9	Q An Org, organization?
10	A Right.
11	Q What about HCO?
12	A Hubbard Communications Office.
13	Q And what do these units down at the bottom, what
14	do they do?
15	A They perform various functions having to do with
16	the running of the ecclesiastical units.
17	Q Well in this particular case does this depict
18	where the public would come for Scientology services?
19	A It could.
20	Q Well, how about assuming this is a series Org,
21	they would come in either here in Div 6 and then cycle around
22	through Division 6 make their donation, get their
23	services in Div 4, get their either get corrected or
24	verified that they had completed their services in Division 4
25	and Division 5, and they'd come out there in Div 6 and become
26	a field staff member and cycle back through the Org. It sort
27	of has a flow to it.

Q Okay, and what is the purpose of the Service

exhibit 85?

1 THE COURT: Yes, 85. 2 BY MR. HARRIS: Let me direct your attention to 3 exhibit 85 and ask you if you recognize that? 4 Yes, I do. 5 Okay. Church of Scientology International is 6 the mother church? 7 That is the corporation which acts as a mother 8 church. 9 Okay, and it contains the items that this box 10 on exhibit 85 up at the top middle contain? 11 Yes, it does. 12 And you have got again CBC and CMO Int there, 13 and then you have got ED International and Executive Strata; 14 what's that? 15 Executive Director International and Executive 16 Strata is the ecclesiastical echelon below WDC. 17 What do they do? 18 They run -- they provide ecclesiastical management 19 to the rest of Scientology. 20 And what is this Flag Command Bureau? 21 They are below Executive -- the Executive Strata 22 and their job is to gather data and do evaluations and 23 generally monitor the activities of Scientology organizations 24 throughout the world and also act as an execution arm to get 25 management directives and policies implemented. 26 Now, Flag Service, FSO is that Flag Service 27 Organization? 28 A That's right.

1	Q And where is that?
2	A That is in Clearwater, Florida.
3	Q Is that a separate corporation?
4	A Yes, it is.
5	Q And Scientology Missions International; what is
6	that?
7	A That is the management body which is to oversee
8	the lowest level of the organization known as a Mission.
9	Q And that also is separately incorporated?
10	A Yes, it is.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

chart, Your Honor, I object --

28

1 THE COURT: It seems kind of redundant. 2 Why don't you finish with it. 3 BY MR. HARRIS: Miss Sullivan spoke about being 0 4 at AOLA and she also mentioned American Saint Hill 5 Organization, AOLA. 6 It is an Advanced Organization of Los Angeles A 7 that delivers advanced courses. 8 And American Saint Hill Organization? 9 That is another high level church which delivers 10 high level training. 11 Okay. Now, based upon your experience, is the 12 religion of Scientology a hierarchical religion? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Information goes up? 15 A Yes. 16 And orders and so on come down? 0 17 Yes, that's right. 18 As opposed to congregational like a local church 19 where a preacher, so on, stands up and gives a speech to the 20 congregation? 21 Well, services are delivered in Scientology in 22 a much different form than they are in a local church. The 23 policy director -- Scientology is a hierarchical religion 24 in terms of policy and programs come down from senior 25 management down to the lower organizations. 26 Thee is a certain amount of responsibility that 27 the lower organization has to run itself in accordance with 28 policy. Probably the only time that you will ever get orders

15 1 All right. Well, we had or at least there's Q 1 been testimony here that he collected up some items which 2 3 he put in something called the archives. You understand what body I am talking about, what body of information? 4 Yes, I understand. 5 Q That is what I am trying to figure out now. 6 Is it that body of items that you have under control of 7 8 the Church of Spiritual Technology? 9 That is correct. 10 Now you mentioned that Terry Gamboa was on a 0 11 mission to do something about crims in the GO. 12 A That's right. 13 And did you have any part in that? 0 14 A Yeah, peripherally. 15 And how did you get involved in that? 0 16 Because I was part of the team, the people A 17 that what we call in management that became aware of what 18 the GO had been up to, how off source and off the rails 19 they had become, and I participated mainly in the corporate 20 sortout, I was pretty much outraged at what had been going 21 on and participated in the general cleanout. 22 And do you have some estimate of the number 23 of people that was got rid of during this cleanout? 24 Out of the GO? 25 Q Yes. 26 About 1100. A 27 Across the world? 28 Yes. A

25 f

the highest.

THE COURT: If we are going to get into a new subject, we will just take a recess at this time. We will reconvene at 1:30.

(The noon recess was taken until 1:30 p.m. of the same day.)

What is this, anyway?

A On the left side of the -- basically this grade chart entitled "The Bridge to Total Freedom" summarizes the central activity of the Church of Scientology, which is to take people up to new levels of spiritual awareness through application of Scientology philosophy and technology and _ _ that is on the right side.

1 That is on the right side? Q 2 These different levels signify levels of spiritual A 3 awareness. 4 And on the left side is the classification or the degree of skill and sophistication of techniques needed 5 6 to audit people on the right side of the grade chart. 7 MR. FLYNN: Objection, Your Honor. This is all beyond 8 the scope --9 MR. HARRIS: Well, I don't think so. THE COURT: Well, it is hard to tell what the scope 10 11 was. 12 THE WITNESS: Let me just wrap it up real quick. 13 The idea of Scientology and the reason we were 14 in Scientology is to attain total freedom, to get off the 15 life-death cycle. 16 The Scientologists believe that they are spiritual 17 beings that have an immortality. That is why the billion-18 year contract of the Sea Org member. 19 We have been in body after body after body after 20 body. 21 MR. FLYNN: Is this a waiver of all of the First 22 Amendment Rights that --23 MR. HARRIS: They have raised it in the context of the 24 E-meter; that Scientology is a religion, all manner of things 25 were brought up. 26 THE COURT: We are going to do one thing at a time. 27 We are not going to be here for the rest of our natural lives 28 or reincarnated to continue this case.

20-1

MR. HARRIS: I certainly affirm that, Your Honor. THE COURT: Let's go forward.

Q BY MR. HARRIS: Over here on the left-hand side there are all kinds of Hubbards, Hubbards. In fact, it appears all over the chart as Hubbard this, Hubbard that.

What was the role of Mr. Hubbard insofar as the religion of Scientology?

A Mr. Hubbard is the one that founded the subject matter. He is the one that discovered the philosophy and the technology of Scientology and organized it and wrote it down and lectured on it.

And, in fact, you know everything in Scientology having to do with the religious philosophy and technology of Scientology stems from Mr. Hubbard's personal researches and observations. So the subject matter of the religion was originated by Mr. Hubbard and he is the source of our technology.

That is why we have such terms as "on source" and so forth, to signify how close somebody is adhering to the teachings of Mr. Hubbard.

In the training, for example, if a student is trained in Scientology, he is trained directly from the original writings and spoken words of Mr. Hubbard.

It is not the duty of a course supervisor, the teacher of a course, to interpret the materials at all. It is his job to make sure that the student who is studying duplicates the materials exactly as they are written by Mr. Hubbard so that where he has had success in applying

1 certain techniques, then the student will have similar 2 successes to the degree that he duplicates how Mr. Hubbard 3 did it. 4 When you use the word "technology," I think of 5 computers; what do you mean when you say "technology"? 6 The word technology is used wisely in Scientology 7 because there are precise techniques to applying the religious 8 materials. 9 For example, in an auditing session there is a 10 very precise communication formula where you ask the person 11 who is being audited, called a pre-clear, you ask the 12 question and you get an answer and acknowledge that answer. 13 There is a one, two, three, four steps that an auditor goes 14 through. And that would be the technology of the 15 communication cycle, for example. 16 I take it you were trained in the use of the 17 E-meter? 18 Yes, I was. 19 I am going to show you what has been marked 20 exhibit X and ask you to look at the first item on there and 21 ask you if you recognize it. 22 Yes, I do. 23 0 From where? 24 Earlier in my training as an auditor I came across 25 this bulletin. 26 By the way, when you were training as an auditor 0 27 did you read all of the bulletins on the subject matter? 28 Yes, I did, being something called the Saint Hill

speech briefing course in which I read all the written 1 materials in chronological order. 2 3 Q Okay. The words "lie detector" is in quotes within 4 exhibit X on the first page. I ask you, what is that? 5 Why is it used here? 6 Why is it used in quotes. 7 Well, the E-meter --8 MR. FLYNN: Is this the interpretation of "scripture"? 9 10 THE COURT: I am not sure what we are into. If you know. 11 12 THE WITNESS: I do know. 13 THE COURT: You can answer. THE WITNESS: An E-meter generally measures changes 14 15 in resistance due to the interaction of a thetan, which is a spiritual being, the person himself, his mind which is the 16 record of his past experiences and the body. 17 When an auditor asks a pre-clear -- the person 18 who is receiving the auditing -- some question which triggers 19 an area of travail on the person's past, the E-meter would 20 21 register and electrical change. 22 23 24 25 26 27

When a person is doing something he shouldn't do or has done something he shouldn't have done, that will be an area of spiritual travail which will show up on E meter. At this time period in 1960 when this bulletin was written some of the churches had experienced infiltrators, people who had been sent in to disrupt the activities of the church. It was found that if one got them on an E meter and asked them about their true intentions or what they were actually doing there, that it would start reading on the E meter and this then permitted the organization to detect such individuals within the ranks and to either get them to change their minds about what they were doing there and remain as Scientologists or to kick them out, and that then -- because there was difficulties of this sort of a widespread nature, something called a security check was implemented within the organization where they screened people who were coming into the organization, just asked them a series of questions, and then the E meter, if there was a read, a reaction or a certain question like, "Are you here to disrupt the organization?" for example, might be a question. If you

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Now, what happened was security checking was being done and what was happening was Mr. Hubbard found out that people who were getting security checking experienced a great deal of relief by getting off withholds and overts on areas of their life that didn't have anything to do with disrupting the organization, things a person was doing that

get a read on that, the auditor finds out what is behind that

read, and that became security checking.

28

1	he shouldn't be doing. So, sec checking then became a
2	tool for enhancing somebody spiritually because it was
3	found that the person could be more effective in life and
4	deal better with life if he had gotten his overts and
5	withholds off, transgressions against moral codes, and that
6	later evolved in the confessional processing and now it is
7	part of this grade chart on level 2.
8	Q You used a couple of words "overts" and
9	"withholds"; what is that?
10	A An overt could generally be defined as a
11	transgression against one's moral code.
12	Q I show you what has been marked exhibit RR and
13	ask you if you have seen that before?
14	A Yes I have.
15	Q And in what context did you see it?
16	A I don't know, somewhere in my studies.
17	Q All right, now you said because it was
18	written by Mr. Hubbard, that was scriptural?
19	A Yes, that is right.
20	Q Now did you have any training in the ethics
21	and justice system of Scientology?
22	A Yes, I have had several courses.
23	Q And have you served in any capacity as far
24	as ethics officer or master at arms?
25	A I have served as a person on an eclesiastical
26	court in Scientology a number of times.

You have such courts in Scientology?

they are called committees of evidence or

comms evs.

Q All right, now, in respect to exhibit RR, do you know if there was a cancelation of this policy letter?

- A I believe it was cancelled shortly thereafter.
- Q And what is the effect of a cancelation?
- A It is no longer in policy.
- Q All right now with respect to the last part "enemy," what does that mean as far as the items in the left-hand side; liability, treason, et cetera?
 - A These are conditions of existence.
- Q And the SP order, Fair Game et cetera that appears to be a penalty if that is on the left-hand side as the condition; right?
 - A Right.
- Q Okay. Now, did you at the time that you read that or since understand that to be a license for Scientologists to trick, sue, lie to or destroy somebody?

A Absolutely not. All it ever meant to me was that a person who had been declared an SP or expelled from the church did not have recourse to the Scientology ethics and justice system.

A Scientologist in good standing can resolve any disputes with another Scientologist or with the organization through the internal Scientology ethics and justice system. Somebody who has been expelled from the church can't avail themselves of those remedies.

28f

Q And if a Scientologist were to trick, destroy, lie to, et cetera, somebody, that somebody couldn't have access to the justice system; is that what you're saying?

A That is what I am saying.

But that person -- there is another thing. I mean there is another Scientology ethics policy which applies which listed a whole plane of things which Scientologists are not supposed to do.

Lat's say that person A was expelled from the church and person B was a Scientologist in good standing.

If person B went out and cheated that person in some business deal or something like that, person A would not have recourse to Scientology. He would have to go to a civil court.

But person B would probably be disciplined within the group for engaging in conduct unethical and unbecoming a Scientologist, even though the SP was the target of the cheating.

Q All right. Now, you mentioned a special project as being in part designed to clean up the GO, the Guardian's Office?

A Right.

Q At that time were you aware of whether Mary Sue Hubbard had resigned or not?

A Yes, I was.

I believe she resigned in early '81. It was sometime early to mid.

Q She wasn't included in this clean-up?

A No. She wasn't. She had already resigned.

1 All right. Have you ever represented yourself Q 2 as an attorney? 3 No, I haven't, never. 4 Did you have a conversation with Nancy Dincalci 5 about the photos? 6 A Among other things. 7 I had a -- she called me shortly after the photo 8 incident. And I think part of the conversation was she talked 9 about the photos, how Gerry should get them back or something 10 like that. 11 I said, "Absolutely he shouldn't be selling these, 12 especially to Scientologists because he had broken from the 13 church and was out there doing God knows what. We don't 14 know." 15 We hadn't realized that he had taken all of those 16 materials at that time, I don't think. 17 And I think that the balance of the conversation 18 was -- Nancy was an old friend from when we were in the 19 Sea Org together at La Quinta. And I tried to persuade her 20 to change her ways and rejoin the church. 21 I reminded her of the spiritual gain she had had 22 in auditing and so forth and the win she had had as an auditor 23 helping others and tried to get her -- you know, the door 24 is always open; tried to get her to come back. 25 Now, were you at La Quinta with Mr. Hubbard? Q 26 A Yes, I was. 27 Q When was that? 28 A '77, '78 -- '78.

10 100	[2] 마다 마다는 그렇게 되어 보고 있는데, 그런데, 그런데, 그런데, 그런데, 그런데, 그런데, 그런데, 그런
1	Q And what was your post at that time?
2	A I was an auditor.
3	I also worked on the films.
4	Q As a doing what?
5	A Unskilled positions.
6	I helped carry the camera, tripods around, built
7	sets. I acted.
8	With respect to me, that is also an unskilled
9	position, I think.
10	Q Did you know Mr. Armstrong at the time?
11	A Yes, I did.
12	Q What was his position?
13	A I believe for awhile he was known as the cine
14	crew chief. It was his job to get everything together, get
15	the sets on the set and actors in costume, that sort of
16	thing; get everything ready to shoot:
17	Q All right.
18	Now, did you have some understanding as to who
19	you were working for when you were working at La Quinta?
20	A I was working for the Church of Scientology of
21	California.
22	Q And when Mr. Hubbard was there did he have some
23	sort of a house that he was living in?
24	A Yes, he did.
25	Q Why did the church provide him a house?
26	A Because they wanted him there to direct the
27	films.
28	The church always benefits from Mr. Hubbard's

1 presence and provides facilities for him as an honored guest 2 so that he can work in a safe and distraction free environment 3 to produce the products which benefit the church. 4 In your experience do Churches of Scientology 5 have an office that has a desk that remains empty that is 6 there for Mr. Hubbard? 7 All churches do. It is sort of a shrine, you 8 know, to signify that LRH has his religious presence, you 9 know, in every organization of Scientology that --10 The organizations are on source and are adhering 11 to the scriptures without alteration. 12 MR. HARRIS: Nothing further. 13 MR. LITT: I have nothing, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Flynn. 15 16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. FLYNN: 18 Did I hear you use the term "confessional 19 processing, " Mr. Spurlock? 20 Yes, I did. A 21 Is that auditing? 22 Yes, it is. A 23 The term "processing" is interchangeable with 0 24 "auditing"? 25 Except in the context of an HCO confessional. 26 That would be in the context of an ethics action on that 27 individual to clean him up in which case the auditor would 28 give him -- would advise him at the beginning of the

auditing, "I am not auditing you. This is a HCO confessional. What you say in session may be actionable within the organization.* Q As opposed to being actionable outside the organization? I am not aware of anything being actionable outside the organization.

1	Q Well these crimes at the Guardian's office
2	the 1100 people were committing, were they committing crime
3	outside of the organization to your knowledge?
4	A I think a small handful were.
5	Q A small handful of the 1100 that you got
6	rid of?
7	A Many of those people were transferred out of
8	the Guardian's office. When the Guardian's office was
9	disbanded, many of them were put on lower level posts
10	within the church to reprove themselves along regular churc
11	lines.
12	Q So the answer to my question is yes, the 1100
13	were engaged in criminal acts, and you put them in other
14	posts to reprove themselves?
15	A No, that is not the answer to your question.
16	Of the 1100 people in the Guardian's office, I believe only
17	a small number of them were actually engaged in committing
18	torts or crimes of any sort.
19	Q How do you know that, Mr. Spurlock?
20	A Because we sec checked a lot of them to find
21	out what they had been up to.
22	Q Who was "we"?
23	A Management, Int.
24	Q The new breed of management that you are a
25	part of?
26	A I am not a part of it.
27	Q Tell me, does most of the new breed of the
28	church think like you, if you know, Mr. Spurlock?

MR. HARRIS: Well, I will object to the question. 1 BY MR. FLYNN: Let me show you -- you were 2 3 part of getting rid of the 1100 and sec checking them; isn't that correct? 4 5 I didn't sec check any of them personally. 6 THE COURT: When you say getting rid, were they kicked out? 7 8 THE WITNESS: Some of them were dismissed from staff. A few went to jail. 9 10 THE COURT: You mean civilian jail? THE WITNESS: Yes, there was trials in Washington D.C. 11 12 in which some people were convicted of obstruction of 13 justice. THE COURT: Well, that was 11. What happened to the 14 other 10,000? 15 16 THE WITNESS: Eleven hundred. I think there may 17 have been some that were found to be so off the wall or 18 so off the rails that they were dismissed. What that 19 number is I don't know. It is probably a percentage. 20 THE COURT: They weren't expelled, the bulk of them. 21 You are saying they were simply reprocessed and brought 22 back in? 23 THE WITNESS: If they were salvagable. When the 24 Guardian's office was disbanded, they were moved back into 25 the church to assume regular eclesiastical posts on the 26 seven division org board, generally of lower responsibility

THE COURT: All right.

27

28

type posts.

1	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Now these eclesiastical posts
2	were hierarchical as you testified to earlier?
3	A Yes. Scientology is a hierarchical religion.
4	Q And the Guardian's office was part of that
5	hierarchical religion?
6	A The Guardian's office operated as an autonomous
7	unit. That was part of the problem.
8	Q Let me show you exhibit 84 that you testified
9	was the hierarchical structure, Mr. Spurlock.
10	A Right.
11	Q Do you recognize 84 as part of the hierarchical
12	structure?
13	A Yes, I do.
14	Q And it all flows up to the Guardian's office;
15	is that correct?
16	A Flows up to the founder.
17	Q And just below the founder is the Guardian's
18	office?
19	A Right, from 1973.
20	Q Was the founder part of the hierarchical
21	structure?
22	A The founder is the religious leader of
23	Scientology. He is the founder.
24	Q Is he part of the hierarchical structure,
25	Mr. Spurlock? That was the question.
26	A The role of L. Ron Hubbard what can I say?
27	The whole relgion of Scientology is based on his writings and
28	researches. He is the religious leader. We hold him in

reverence, deep respect.

Q Does exhibit 84 reflect the hierarchical structure as you previously testified with L. Ron Hubbard at the top just above the Guardian's office?

A 1973. This is what was printed.

Q Well prior to 1981 and '82 when you got rid of these 1100 back to 1966, was that the hierarchical structure that you testified about earlier?

THE COURT: Wall, let the witness answer. If he wants your consult, he will ask you for it. I don't want you suggesting to him certain things.

I am not suggesting that there is anything wrong. He is a witness here just like any other person, and if he has a question he wants to ask you, he can do so.

MR. HELLER: I understand that, Your Honor. I am so confused because hierarchical was put in an eclesiastical sense when it was testified to on direct examination.

THE COURT: Well, they are the ones that presented it. He's answered the question.

MR. HELLER: I see the confusion in his mind.

THE COURT: Well, let's go forward.

'70's and all the way up to 1981 Mary Sue Hubbard was the controller and responsible for the activities of the Guardian's office. LRH engaged in researches, from time to time became involved in developing management technology and debugging various aspects of the church, and that is how it happened

3Of

as a practical matter. That is my understanding.

This chart may very well have been valid in 1973. I am certain that if L. Ron Hubbard had been interested in the activities of the Guardian's office and had gone in there and said, "What the hell is going on?" I am sure somebody would have told him and I am sure somebody would have answered up if he had expressed displeasure.

BY MR. FLYNN: Do you think his wife knew what was going on?

MR. LITT: Objection; calls for speculation.

4 5

. .

THE COURT: Overruled.

The witness has indicated a certain amount of awareness of what was happening.

THE WITNESS: I don't really know if she did or not.

I know that she was responsible for the activities of the Guardian's Office; therefore, I think it was appropriate that she resigned when it turned into such a mess.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: You lumped Laurel Sullivan among the 1,100 criminals, did you not, Mr. Spurlock?

A I told you that Laurel Sullivan was out at Gilman undergoing ethics handling along with some of the more infamous GO members.

Q Did you lump Laurel Sullivan among the 1,100 criminals?

MR. LITT: Objection. Argumentative.

THE WITNESS: I didn't say 1,100 criminals.

THE COURT: Overruled.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: Do you lump Laurel Sullivan among the 1,100 criminals, Mr. Spurlock?

MR. HARRIS: He is asking his opinion now, Your Honor?

THE COURT: There was some testimony about 1,100 people.

I thought the whole thing started when somebody was to go

clean out the GO and get rid of the criminals. And then we

got into 1,100 people that were ousted or something. And

then --

THE WITNESS: I didn't mean to imply there were 1,100 criminals.

1 Q BY MR. FLYNN: Do you lump Laurel Sullivan among 2 the criminal types in the Guardian's Office, the infamous ones that were out at Gilman Hot Springs? 4 Yes, I think I do. 5 Did you ever work personally with L. Ron Hubbard 6 as his personal representative for eight straight years 7 disseminating his PR line? 8 No, I didn't. 9 When Laurel Sullivan was working directly with 10 L. Ron Hubbard and not in the Guardian's Office, Mr. Spurlock, 11 was she among the criminals at that time? 12 I think you would have to ask Laurel. 13 You seem to have an opinion on it; do you not? 14 My opinion on Laurel Sullivan is that at some 15 point she turned sour. 16 I have seen it before with people getting 17 disaffected with Scientology. 18 It is like a divorce. All of a sudden the object 19 of one's love and affinity become the object of one's hate 20 and scorn. It is almost incomprehensible to me. 21 Have you looked at the 5,000 documents under seal 22 in this courthouse? 23 No, I haven't. 24 Q Do you know whether or not she became 25 disillusioned when she looked at those 5,000 documents about 26 the lies that had been disseminated by your founder? 27 MR. LITT: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence 28 and is argumentative, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection on the ground 2 it is argumentative. 3 BY MR. FLYNN: Do you know whether she became 4 disilllusioned in 1980-81 when Mr. Armstrong was collecting 5 the documents that are under seal, Mr. Spurlock? 6 I have no knowledge of that. A 7 You don't know when it happened? 0 8 When she became disillusioned? A 9 Right. Q 10 No. I really don't know Laurel's -- what Laurel's A 11 story is. 12 And yet you have an opinion that -- lumping her 0 13 among some of the infamous criminals; is that correct? 14 A Yes. 15 Have you ever read any of the documents that are 16 under seal in this case? 17 A I don't know. 18 Let me ask you this --19 I have read lots of documents. Whether some were A 20 under seal, I don't know. 21 You gave a definition of a criminal as someone 22 who wants something for nothing; is that your definition? 23 Or is not supporting the group or is not aligned 24 with the group and pulling his weight. 25 Is a person who consistently lies to the group 26 a criminal? 27 I would say so. A 28 So if a person had consistently lied to the group

1 for some 30 years about his background, you would categorize 2 that person as a criminal, Mr. Spurlock? 3 Yes. I would categorize that person as a 4 criminal. 5 Do I categorize L. Ron Hubbard as a criminal? 6 Absolutely not. 7 Have you read any of the documents under seal, 8 Mr. Spurlock? 9 THE COURT: You have asked that. He has already 10 answered. 11 Q BY MR. FLYNN: Let me show you this policy letter 12 and I'll ask you if you consider that to be scripture. 13 A Yes, I do. 14 L. Ron Hubbard's scripture copyrighted by him; 15 is that correct? 16 A That's right. 17 Would you turn over to the second page of that 18 policy letter, under item J; would you read that to 19 yourself? 20 A Okay. 21 You have read that before? Q 22 A Yes. 23 Do you agree that "policy is very definite; 24 ignore with regard to assisting judges"? 25 People attempting to sit in judgment on 26 Scientology. 27

Link St	
1	Q Do you agree you should ignore them, Mr. Spurlock?
2	A I agree it shouldn't even be in court.
3	Q Do you know who brought this suit?
4	A We did because the church did, C S C did
5	because documents were stolen.
6	Q You know how many suits your organization has
7	brought in the last decade, Mr. Spurlock?
8	A No idea.
9	Q Have you ever seen a computer printout of the
10	number of suits that your organization has brought against
11	people in the last decade?
12	A No. Meaning my organization, Church
13	of Scientology of California
14	Q Church of Scientology, the hierarchical
15	eclesiastical structure.
16	MR. HARRIS: Well, it is not a legal entity.
17	Therefore it can't bring a suit.
18	THE COURT: Well, sustain the objection.
19	Did you want this marked as an exhibit?
20	MR. FLYNN: Yes, please, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: FFFF, four times.
22	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Did you testify that Mary Sue
23	Hubbard was part of the GO cleanup?
24	A She had resigned.
25	Q So she was not part of it?
26	A She had resigned. Therefore, she wasn't
27	around to be part of it.
28	Q Did you use the word earlier in your testimony

- (-1.	
1	Q Do you think he ever worked with L. Ron
2	Hubbard?
3	A I think he worked in the same vicinity.
4	Q How long were you on the boat Apollo?
5	A I wasn't on the boat.
6	Q What is the total amount of time that you
7	worked with L. Ron Hubbard in the same vicinity?
8	A A year.
9	Q And Mary Sue Hubbard, the wife of L. Ron Hubbard
10	do you have any estimate as to the total amount of time she
11	worked with L. Ron Hubbard?
12	MR. LITT: Is this the same physical vicinity again?
13	THE COURT: that is a difficult question. I will
14	sustain the objection.
15	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Do you have any amount of time
16	that Mr. Armstrong worked with L. Ron Hubbard in the same
17	vicinity?
18	A No I don't have any estimate.
19	Q Or Laurel Sullivan?
20	A I know she was on the ship for a number of
21	years.
22	Q Your testimony is that the Fair Game Doctrine
23	was part of an internal ethics system of the church?
24	A Exclusion of people from the ethics system of
25	the church.
26	Q What about people who had never been in the
27	organization who were made subject to it, Mr. Spurlock,
28	how does that fit into your ethics system?

1	A It doesn't.
2	Q Take a person like you, Mr. Spurlock, who was
3	involved for how many years before you got on staff?
4	A Seven or eight.
5	Q You were paying for auditing then?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Fifty, \$60,000 you probably paid?
8	A Probably.
9	Q And all the time you were paying that you
10	thought that your PC files were confidential?
11	A That is right.
12	Q And you considered it a criminal act for the
13	criminals in the Guardian's office to have culled folders
14	during that PC folders during that period of time; is
15	that correct?
16	A Yes.
17	MR. LITT: Criminal in Scientology?
18	THE COURT: Well, I assume that is what the question
19	means.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes. In Scientology context, absolutely
21	Q BY MR. FLYNN: Those people would have been
22	lied to in any context, is that correct?
23	A What people?
24	Q The people whose files were culled when they
25	were told it was confidential.
26	MR. HARRIS: I will object to the question as
27	ambiguous and calling for a conclusion.
28	THE COURT: Well I suppose so. I will sustain the

objection. 1 BY MR. FLYNN: Mr. Spurlock, during all these 2 0 3 years you didn't know the PC files were being culled; right? Even after all these years there was only a 4 few instances of it that were discovered. 5 6 0 A few instances. Well in those few instances were those people 7 lied to with regard to the confidentiality of their folders? 8 9 MR. HARRIS: That calls for a conclusion, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: I will sustain the objection. BY MR. PLYNN: Well, let's assume, Mr. Spurlock, 11 12 that there was a policy with regard to culling folders which has been marked as exhibit triple A in this case created 13 14 by Mary Sue Hubbard in 1969. Was that policy contrary to the representations 15 made to you of the confidentiality of auditing folders? 16 MR. LITT: Objection; that calls for a conclusion, 17 Your Honor. There has been different testimony about what 18 19 the meaning of the policy is which Mr. Spurlock presumably is not privy to. 20 21 THE COURT: Well I will sustain the objection to the form of the question. You can rephrase it if you want. 22 BY MR. FLYNN: Wall, Mr. Spurlock, you 23 testified that there was a handful of criminals who were 24 25 committing criminal acts; do you recall that? 26 Right. A 27 And as part of their criminal act was the 28 culling of PC folders; do you recall that?

Yes.

Q Now, do you include in your definition of a criminal act lying to someone about the confidentiality of PC folders?

A Yes, I would.

Q So the people who had their PC folders culled per your definition were lied to; is that correct?

MR. LITT: Objection, Your Honor. This assumes facts not in evidence; it's argumentative and calls for a conclusion as --

THE COURT: The problem with your question is it is not necessarily the person who culled it that was the person who told him it was confidential. Somebody may have said that in good faith and somebody later on may have culled it. And that original person was not lying.

MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, our position is that it was a dichotomous policy of the organization. One was to represent that it was confidential and the other policy was to cull them.

THE COURT: You have testimony in the record as regards that.

Now, if you are talking about somebody lying, you are talking about somebody who makes a representation and misrepresents or nondiscloses when he or she should say something. I don't know whether that would be the same person that would have known that there was culling if there was culling.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: In your experience when you found out about the culling, was it the bad people in the Guardian's

1 Office that were doing the culling? 2 Yes. I know of nowhere else that culling 3 occurred. 4 In the Guardian's Office? 5 A Yes. 6 And the Guardian's Office was part of the 7 hierarchical structure you have testified about; correct? 8 Let me clarify: The Guardian's Office sat off by itself. They had the doors locked. They were very 9 10 mysterious. The bulk of Scientologists didn't even know what 11 the hell was going on in the Guardian's Office. And it only 12 came to light in 1981. 13 Even during the 1977 FBI raid on the church here 14 most of us just thought, oh, hell, here is the government 15 again, you know, another unprovoked attack because there had 16 been a series of attacks on the ship, et cetera. 17 It was only later that we found out what they 18 were really up to and how off the rails they were. And that 19 is when Scientology management, CMO, Executive Strata, 20 et cetera, said this has got to change. This is not what 21 Scientology is about. So there was a housecleaning. 22 If I was an auditor and I was telling my PC that 23 everything he says to me in the session is confidential and 24 I found out later that somebody in the Guardian's Office was 25 culling that folder, I would have been outraged. It would 26 have been a total surprise to me. I wouldn't have stood for 27 it.

28

Q

How long in your estimate had it been going on,

1 Mr. Spurlock? 2 I have no idea. As regards the total secrecy of the Guardian's 4 Office, how long had that secrecy been going on? 5 A period of years. A Prior to 1977 when you became involved; is that 7 correct? 8 A That's right. 9 At some point in time L. Ron Hubbard left and 10 went into seclusion; is that correct? 11 That is correct. A 12 He has done it a number of times. 13 Q Prior to that he was more or less on the com lines 14 of the organization; is that correct? 15 Prior to what time? 16 Prior to the February, 1980 when he went into 17 seclusion? 18 A Yes. 19 So for the prior 30 years or so L. Ron Hubbard 20 had been more or less around, on board the ship, at Gilman, 21 at La Quinta; is that correct? 22 For the 30 years? 23 For the prior 30 years L. Ron Hubbard had been 24 more or less around, involved in the organization; is that 25 correct? 26 I can't give you a time track for the last A 27 30 years. 28 I know that he would go off by himself and do --

1 with a small group of people and do research from time to time. 2 I know he went down to Rhodesia in, I think, '65 3 or '66. 4 5 He went to Las Palmas in '67. The original Sea Org was supposed to be a 6 7 distraction free environment. The management was at Worldwide 8 which was probably why they were up in this ecclesiastical 9 structure in this position. And then he left La Quinta for awhile and then 10 came back; was involved in film making on almost a full-time 11 12 basis, you know. Like he would be on the set for seven, 13 eight, ten hours a day. Roughly between '67 and '75, you know he was 14 mostly on board the ship except for a period where he might 15 16 have been hiding in New York; correct? MR. LITT: Objection. That assumes a fact not in 17 18 evidence. 19 THE COURT: There is testimony that he was hiding. 20 MR. LITT: Not that he was hiding. But --21 THE COURT: There has been testimony that he was 22 hiding, whether it is true or not. But there has been 23 testimony. 24 THE WITNESS: My understanding was he was in research 25 in biochemistry and health foods, that sort of thing, in 26 New York. 27 Q BY MR. FLYNN: The question was in '67 he was on board that ship with the Commodores Messengers in Flag; 28

1	is that correct?
2	A I believe he was on the ship for extended periods
3	of time.
4	Q And then was in Clearwater for a period of time;
5	is that correct?
6	A I don't know if he was actually in Clearwater
7	or not.
8	Q Well, then he was out at La Quinta and Gilman
9	Hot Springs for a period of time; is that correct?
10	A That is correct.
11	Q Now, throughout that whole period of time there
12	was no clean-up of the Guardian's Office, was there,
13	Mr. Spurlock?
14	A The clean-up at the Guardian's Office occurred
15	in 1981.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Q After Mr. Hubbard had gone into seclusion; 1 is that correct, Mr. Spurlock? 2 Yes. 3 MR. FLYNN: That is all I have, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Harris? 5 MR. HARRIS: I don't think I have anything, Your 6 Honor. 7 MR. LITT: I don't think so. Could we just have a 8 moment? 9 THE COURT: What do you do with PC files when a member 10 leaves the church, resigns? 11 THE WITNESS: They are put in archives. 12 THE COURT: Why don't you return them to the 13 individuals? 14 THE WITNESS: They are not the individual's property. 15 THE COURT: Well, you have no use for them; do you? 16 THE WITNESS: Well if the person ever comes back, we 17 do. 18 THE COURT: Well, can't you start from scratch if he 19 comes back? 20 THE WITNESS: That wouldn't be a good idea because 21 then he would reduplicate certain things that he had already 22 23 gone through. THE COURT: Nothing further on may part. You gentlemen 24 25 have anything further? THE WITNESS: If a person is afraid that their PC 26 27 files are going to be used against them, he could ask them

to be destroyed in his presence. We'd agree to that.

1: 12

28

list, I believe, I am told.

1 MR. FLYNN: She is on the witness list, Your Honor. THE CLERK: Would you state your name and spell 2 3 your last name. 4 THE WITNESS: Nancy Dincalci, D-i-n-c-a-l-c-i. 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: 7 At some point in time were you involved in the 8 Church of Scientology, Miss Dincalci? 9 Yes. A 10 And what were the dates? Q 11 1973 to 1979. A 12 And your husband's name is what? Q 13 Jim. A 14 And was he involved with the Church of Q 15 Scientology, also? 16 Yes. 17 And to your knowledge in 1973 was he with 18 L. Ron Hubbard in New York hiding in an apartment? 19 MR. HARRIS: Object to that, Your Honor, unless 20 she is present. 21 THE COURT: Well you can lay a foundation if she has 22 some knowledge, what the source of her knowledge is. 23 BY MR. FLYNN: At some point in time, 24 Miss Dincalci, did you work with L. Ron Hubbard? 25 A Yes. 26 And when was that? 27 1977 through '79, well, '78. A 28

1: /3

1 What briefly did you do? 2 I was an auditor at La Quinta and then I worked 3 in the films under him. 4 And what period of time did you work with the 5 films under Mr. Hubbard? 6 A 178. And where was that? 8 A La Quinta. 9 Now, at some point in time did you try to leave 10 the organization? 11 A Well, not -- I told them I wanted to leave. 12 And when was that? 13 Well, originally '78 when I first decided I wanted 14 to leave. 15 And what happened at that time when you told them 16 you wanted to leave? 17 MR. HARRIS: I'll object as irrelevant to this case, 18 Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Well, overruled. 20 THE WITNESS: Well, we were moved into a stables and 21 then there was a body guard who followed us around all day. 22 And we were kind of ostracized from the group. And we kind 23 of waited around for a couple of months until they decided 24 that we could go. 25 Before they would let you go? 26 A Uh-huh. 27 Prior to leaving were you taken into a room where 28 your PC folders were being culled?

34-1

1	A I went through a room where they were.
2	Q How many people were culling the folders?
3	A Oh, maybe 30 people.
4	Q And this was when, in 1978, or 1979?
5	A 1979, the night before we left when they finally
6	said we could go.
7	All of the people that were leaving there were
8	four of us at the time all of the crew kind of sat around
9	and went through our folders to get any information.
10	Q Was this during the period '78 and '79 when
11	Mr. Spurlock was out at La Quinta and Gilman Hot Springs?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And who were the four people who wanted to leave?
14	A My husband, myself, Lee Littler and Bob Littler.
15	Q And at that time was information taken out of
16	your folders and reduced to writing?
17	A Yes, it was.
18	Q And were you made to sign it?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And what else were you made to sign?
21	A A nondisclosure and release bond.
22	Q And were you made to sign promissory notes?
23	A I believe so at that time. I am at some point
24	I was. I'm not sure if it was at that point.
25	Q And after you were incidentally, when your
26	folders were being culled, was it being culled were they
27	being culled by the Guardian's Office, other Sea Org members,
28	or who?

1 Just the general crew, anybody they could get 2 at that point. 3 Were you Sec Checked? 4 A Yes. 5 And how long did the Security Check last? 6 Actually, it was unusually short because it was 7 a very sudden decision that we could suddenly go. I don't 8 know why that occurred. Maybe an hour. 9 Were you familiar with the practice of the 10 organization to retain people's belongings when they tried 11 to leave? 12 MR. HARRIS: Well --13 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. It assumes a fact not in evidence. 14 15 BY MR. FLYNN: After you left the organization 16 were you sent a bill? 17 Yes. 18 And how much was the bill for? Q 19 For me it was \$62,000. A 20 For Jim it was \$92,000; although she said it was 21 incomplete. 22 Did you and your husband have some photographs 23 that you gave to Mr. Armstrong to sell? 24 Yes. 25 And when was that? 26 It was in 1982, May, 1982. A 27 And did you make an agreement with Mr. Armstrong at that time to sell the photographs? 28

3	보고 하는 물건이 되고 있는 물건이 하는데 있다면 하는데 그런데 하고 있는데 살이 살아 있는 그렇게 되었다면 일이 모든데 되었다면 하는데 이번에 가는데 되는데 이번에 나가다면 살아 먹다.
1	A My husband did, actually.
2	Q Were you present?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And what was the agreement?
5	A I don't know if I can recall all the specifics;
6	just basically that he agreed that he was giving them to
7	Mr. Armstrong to sell for a certain amount.
8	Q Do you remember what the amount was?
9	A I believe it was \$2,000.
10	Q Now, incidentally, your husband is now working
11	up in San Francisco; is that correct?
12	A He is going to school near San Francisco.
13	Q Did you or your husband ever get the photographs
14	back?
15	A No.
16	Q Now, in May through September, 1982 did you have
17	the opportunity to observe Gerry Armstrong's mental state?
18	A Yes, I did.
19	Q And on how many occasions?
20	A Between what period?
21	Q May, 1982 and September, 1982.
22	A Oh, 15 to 20 times, maybe.
23	Q What was your observation of his mental state
24	at that time?
25	A He was very disturbed and confused and more or
26	less fearful of the organization. He was fearful and sort
27	of harassed.
28	Q How would you characterize in terms of degree

the level of fear that you observed? 1 I would say it was very severe at a certain 2 3 point. 4 Now, on one occasion in September, 1982 did you 5 come and pick Mr. and Mrs. Armstrong up under rather unusual 6 circumstances? 7 During that time period they were being followed 8 and watched continuously. 9 24 hours per day? 10 Uh-huh. And it was obviously getting to them. They really were upset and harassed. 11 12 We kind of arranged a rendezvous, as I recall. 13 We even had some kind of a code over the phone. 14 He called me on a pay phone so I could pick them 15 up so they could get away for a brief period to get out from 16 under that. 17 So I drove down and I was to meet them not where 18 they lived, but in a pre-arranged location near where they 19 lived at night at a certain hour. 20 The whole thing, actually, my car broke down. 21 So I ended up walking there. 22 When I told Gerry, he said, "Get out before they 23 see you." 24 He was sort of real spooked. He really --25 Did you go get a Rent-A-Car? Q 26 Yes. I went to the airport and rented one; 27 picked them up; they sort of dove in the back seat, crouched 28 down. We drove away.

1 When you actually picked them up were they hiding Q 2 somewhere? 3 Yes. They were in a dark corner where I was 4 supposed to meet them. 5 Were they hiding? In the park where they lived, just a short 6 7 location near the laundromat near where they lived. I don't 8 even remember the specific location, but --9 Now, when you were working on the films with 10 Mr. Hubbard did you have the opportunity to observe his 11 behavior? 12 A Yes, I did. 13 What were your observations? 14 My observations were that his behavior was very 15 erratic and abusive to people around him and just very 16 disturbed. 17 MR. FLYNN: That is all I have, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: You may cross-examine, Mr. Litt. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

-	
100	
15	
	1
200	
10.74	
_	
_	
_	
_	
_	
_	
-	
_	
-	
-	
-	
-	
_	
1,	
-	
1	
- (
1,	
1, 1	

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BV	MR.	TT	יחיחי	
DI	IVIN .	LI	LI	٠

Q Miss Dincalci - - Mrs. Dincalci, I am sorry, you actually left the church in 1979?

A Right.

Q And after that were there any occasions on which you returned to the church and had contact with people there?

A Yes.

Q Did that occur at all in 1979?

A Yes.

Q And that was the church here in L.A. at the Cedars Complex?

A Yes.

Q And on how many occasions did that occur?

A I went in there three or four times, I guess.

Q And was that to meet and talk with various people?

A Yes.

Q I take it you didn't actually engage in any services, but you were just retaining your relations with people?

A I went in to see if I could get the money back that I had paid them for services I never received.

Q And in 1980 - - did you go into the church on any occasions in 1980?

A 1980 -- actually think that is what I was referring to was in 1980.

1.37	
1	Q How about after 1980, 1981, did you have any
2	contact with people then?
3	A Not that I recall.
4	Q Did you have any social contact with people
5	who had been friends of yours while you were in Scientology
6	during the years 1980 and 1981?
7	A People that had been friends of mine while I
8	was in Scientology, yes.
9	Q So you continued to maintain social relations
10	with some people who were still in the church?
11	A They weren't still in the church.
12	Q Now, let's go to the year 1982.
13	You described the fact that you and your
14	husband gave photos to Mr. Armstrong to sell; do you
15	remember what that was? Was that in April?
16	A I think so, yes.
17	Q And the photos themselves were photos that your
18	husband had. You weren't present when any of them were
19	taken; is that correct?
20	A That is correct.
21	Q Now, at the time that Mr. Armstrong took
22	these photos in to be sold, had you had any contact with
23	Mr. Flynn?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And had you gone to someplace other than
26	California to meet with Mr. Flynn?
27	A Yes.
28	Q Was that at his office in Boston?

the total		
1	A	Uh-huh.
2	Q	And did you pay your own way there or did he
3	pay your way?	
4	A	He reimbursed us.
5	Q	Do you remember when that meeting occurred?
6	A	I actually am not sure of the month, but it
7	was right arc	und that same time period.
8	Q	Was it before the transaction with Mr. Armstrong
9	concerning th	e photographs?
10	A	I think so.
11	Q	And before this transaction with the photographs
12	had you told	Mr. Armstrong about your meeting with Mr. Flynn?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And had you suggested to him that he go see
15	Mr. Flynn as	well?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Now after the photograph incident occurred,
18	did you go ba	ck into the church some time in early May?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And you went back into the church, did you
21	not, to once	again seek a resolution of your claim for a
22	refund?	
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And did you meet with someone there?
25	A	Yes.
26	Q	And who was that, do you recall?
27	Α	You mean for that purpose? I mean, I met
28	Q	Did you have a discussion with someone at the

This was a check made out to you?

٠	1		
	۱		
	۰		

A To me.

No.

Q

these discussions?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

And so after you got this check and it had this disclaimer, was the disclaimer a waiver of any claims you might have? Was that your understanding?

And was your husband present during any of

It was a blanket statement that L. Ron Hubbard and Mary Sue Hubbard had nothing to do with the church, and a long statement like that.

Did it also contain statements concerning the fact that by cashing this check, you relinquished any claims you may have; do you remember that?

I had to sign a separate form saying that, But I am not sure.

So, you returned the church because you weren't sure you wanted to sign such a waiver?

I returned to the church because I wanted that money that I had never received.

And you had a discussion concerning certain reservations that you had about signing this; is that correct?

Yes.

A

And that included a discussion about this dispute concerning the photographs; is that right? You raised that?

I don't believe so.

Was there any discussion about the photographs

1	at all?	
2	A	To that individual, no.
3	Q	Was there any discussion about the photographs
4	in connection	with this waiver that you signed?
5	A	No.
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

36£

6-1	1	Q And did you, after discussing this waiver, say
	2	that you wanted to consult the advice of an attorney before
_	3	signing it?
	4	A Yes.
-	5	Q And you then returned subsequently and signed
	6	a waiver?
	7	A That is correct.
_	8	You are going back an earlier time period but,
	9	yes, that is correct.
_	10	Q Now, in May you had some contact with Mr. Flynn
	11	at the Bonaventure Hotel; is that right?
	12	A Yes.
_	13	Q Mr. Armstrong was present also?
	14	A Uh-huh.
_	15	Q And were you as I understood it, this was a
	16	two-day meeting or series of meetings or whatever; were you
	17	or your husband present on both days, or just one?
_	18	A I think it was just one.
	19	Q Were you present at the same time that
_	20	Mr. Armstrong was present?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q And were there some documents there that
_	23	Mr. Flynn had?
	24	A There were some documents there, yes.
	25	Q And did Mr. Flynn make reference to these
_	26	documents in the course of your conversations?
	27	A To be honest with you, at that time I don't
	28	recall whether he actually did or not.

1 I didn't speak very much with Mr. Flynn at that 2 meeting myself. He may have. 3 There were some documents that were spread out 4 on a table or bed, right, that people were free to take a 5 look at; is that correct? 6 Well, I guess they were -- I mean --7 You had the understanding that people were free 8 to take a look at them; is that right? 9 No, not particularly. No one made a statement 10 to that effect or anything. I don't know. 11 Did you take a look at any of them? Q 12 I don't think I did at that time. A 13 0 Did your husband -- strike that. 14 When you say "at that time," did you at some other 15 time? 16 I had seen some documents. A 17 When did you see documents? 18 Earlier. Maybe a month or two earlier, maybe 19 a month earlier. 20 Let me see if I understand this. 21 Mr. Armstrong, I take it, showed you these 22 documents? 23 A Yes, he did show us some documents. 24 And this was in March or April? 25 I would say it was -- I think it may have been A 26 in April. Give or take a month, I really --27 This was all before the incident with the 28 photographs that you have described that you saw these

1	documents?
2	A That I saw some documents, yes.
3	Q Where was it that you saw these documents?
4	A At my house.
5	Q Mr. Armstrong brought them to your house?
6	A Yes.
7	Q He brought them there and he showed them to
8	you?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And he showed them to your husband?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And did you read them?
13	A I read some documents, yes.
14	Q And among the documents was what you would agree
15	was a quite private journal of some type of Mr. Hubbard's
16	that appeared to come from the post-war period, 1945 to 1950
17	period; is that correct?
18	A I don't know what period, but there were some
19	writings of Mr. Hubbard.
20	Q That were quite private; you thought so, didn't
21	you?
22	A Well, I thought they revealed
23	Q Aside from what you thought they revealed, you
24	did feel that they were personal; didn't you?
25	A I don't know how you make that distinction.
26	His books are personal; his stories about but
27	they were his, you know, in his own handwriting, his own
28	thoughts, you know

1	Q His own thoughts; you agree with that
2	characterization?
3	A Uh-huh.
4	Q And aside from this document, did Mr. Armstrong
5	show you a letter of Mrs. Hubbard's to Mr. Hubbard from the
6	early 1950's?
7	A No. But
8	Q What else besides this one
9	You were going to say something more?
10	A No.
11	Q What else besides this journal or whatever we
12	call it of Mr. Hubbard's did Mr. Armstrong show you?
13	A There was a notebook which contained what
14	seemed to be a Black Magic Ritual or something in his
15	handwriting.
16	Q And anything else that you recall?
17	A Those were the two things that stand out in my
18	mind that I recall.
19	Q Was there any correspondence between Mr. Hubbard
20	and anyone?
21	A We are speaking about things that he showed me
22	at my house; right?
23	Q Yes.
24	A No.
25	Q Did you see some correspondence on some other
26 27	occasion other than at your house?
28	A I do recall that I saw some correspondence at
10	

1	Q At the Bonaventure Hotel?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Was that the letter from Mrs. Hubbard to
4	Mr. Hubbard in the early 1950's as you recall?
5	A What I recall is a letter one of his earlier
6	wives to another, a later wife.
7	Q And did you read that at the time or glanced
8	through it?
9	A Yes, I did.
10	Q Going back to this discussion at your house where
11	Mr. Armstrong brought these, do you remember any other
12	documents besides what you have described that Mr. Armstrong
13	brought with him to your house?
14	A The Excalibur.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

7/1			
	1	Q	The manuscript of "Excalibur"?
	2	A	The manuscript, uh-huh.
_	3	Q	Anything else that you recall?
	4	A	Nothing else that I recall at the time.
-	5	Q	And your husband read these at the time, also;
	6	is that right	
	7	A	To some degree.
	8	Q	And you and Mr. Armstrong and your husband
	9	had a discus	sion about these documents?
	10	A	We discussed them.
	11	Q	Was anybody else present?
	12	A	No.
	13	Q	Was Joyce Armstrong present?
	14	Α	Oh, yes, I am sorry.
_	15	Q	Just the four of you?
	16	Α	Uh-huh.
	17	Q	Was there ever any occasion on which
	18	Mr. Armstron	p brought documents of this type to your house?
	19	A	I don't recall that there was another time that
	20	he brough the	em. I think there was the one instance that he
	21	brought them	. He may have brought I did store some
	22	things in our	garage later. There may have been
	23	documents the	ere. I didn't go through
	24	Q	When did he store things in your garage; do
	25	you recall?	
	26	A	I think it was probably in the end of May.
_	27	I really don	't know the time. I am not too good on times.
	28	Q	Right after this meeting at the Bonaventure

1	Hotel or somewhere around that time frame?
2	A I think so. I honestly don't know. I really
3	don't remember in relation to
4	Q Were there any occasions on which you were
5	at Mr. Armstrong's house and also saw some documents?
6	A I may have. I don't remember. I only remember
7	reading them really at my house.
8	Q Did Mr. Armstrong mention to you who else he
9	had shown these documents to?
10	A I don't think he had shown them to anyone
11	except to Omar to my recollection. I don't believe he
12	had.
13	Q That is not my question. Did he mention to
14	you anyone else? I take it the answer to that is no?
15	A That is correct. It is no.
16	Q Now, going back to the Bonaventure Hotel
17	meeting, some of the same documents that you described
18	having been at Mr. Armstrong's house were present at this
19	meeting, also; correct?
20	A Uh-huh.
21	Q And also more documents; is that right?
22	A I think so.
23	Q And do you know if your husband looked through
24	some of these documents on that occasion?
25	A He probably did. I don't know. We were mostly
26	talking with others who were present more than we were
27	looking at documents.
28	Q Other people were looking at documents?

27

28

1	A I am saying we were talking with others rather
2	than looking at documents.
3	Q Do you recall any other people besides you
4	and your husband looking at any of these documents?
5	A Kima and Mike Douglas may have looked at some
6	of them.
7	Q Ddi you have any discussion with them afterward
8	about whether they had looked at any of them?
9	A You'd think I would remember this clearly,
10	but I probably did.
11	Q And it was your understanding, wasn't it,
12	that Mr. Flynn was in present posssession of those
13	documents?
14	MR. FLYNN: Objection.
15	THE COURT: Well I will sustain the objection.
16	Q BY MR. LITT: Well, do you recall whether or
17	not Mr. Flynn invited people to read them? Do you have any
18	recollection of that?
19	A No, I don't.
20	Q Well, somebody invited people to read them.
21	You felt you had permission from somebody to read them;
22	didn't you?
23	A At the Bonaventure?
24	Q Yes.
25	A Well, I had already seen them, so I felt
26	like it was okay to look at those things that I had already

seen. But as I said, I don't think I was reading the

documents on that occasion. There were some things around.

1 And it was your understanding that whoever Q 2 wanted to take a look at them was welcome to do so; wasn't 3 it? 4 THE COURT: It assumes she had an understanding. 5 Q BY MR. LITT: Did you have an understanding 6 with respect to whether people were able to look at these 7 documents while they were attending this meeting? 8 Well, some people did look at them. They 9 were able to, yes. 10 And did you have an understanding as to whether 11 people were given permission to do so in some form? 12 Nothing was said to that effect, but people 13 did. Some people did look at them, so whatever. 14 And it was your understanding that that was 15 okay? 16 Not necessarily. A 17 Well, you felt if you wanted to look at them, 18 you could; right? 19 Not necessarily. I did not know to what 20 degree I could look at what. I didn't know. I didn't have 21 a clear understanding of that, no. 22 MR. LITT: May I have a moment, Your Honor? 23 I have nothing further, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Harris? 25 MR. HARRIS: Briefly, Your Honor. 26 27

37 4

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARRIS:

Q Miss Dincalci, you were on the staff of some church from what years?

A I was on staff from 1975 through 1977 at the American Saint Hill Organization.

Then I joined the Sea Org and was at La Quinta from 1977 to 1979.

THE COURT: Well, I think we had better take a recess.

(Recess.)

1 THE COURT: We are back in session. The witness has 2 retaken the stand. 3 State your name again for the record, ma'am. 4 You are still under oath. 5 THE WITNESS: Nancy Dincalci. 6 THE COURT: You may continue, Mr. Harris. 7 MR. HARRIS: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 Prior to going on staff at American Saint Hill 9 Organization were you a public Scientologist? 10 That's right. 11 And when you went on staff at American Saint Hill 12 Organization were you an auditor? 13 A Yes. 14 And did you hold any other posts? 15 No. At that time -- I did in the Sea Org later 16 on, but you mean at the American Saint Hill Organization; 17 right? 18 Yes. That was from 1975 to 1977? Q 19 I believe so. A 20 And were you auditing public people or staff? Q 21 A Both. 22 When you were an auditor you understood what the 23 auditor's code was? 24 A Yes. 25 And you abided by it? 26 In retrospect, I didn't later on when I was in A 27 the Sea Org and I culled PC folders. 28 When you were on staff at American Saint Hill

38"

1	Organization did you abide by the auditor's code?
2	A To the best of my ability, I believe I did.
3	Q And you joined the Sea Org when?
4	A 1975 I'm sorry 1977.
5	Q And did you join at the American Saint Hill
6	Organization?
7	A No.
8	Q Where did you join?
9	A Where? I just joined under a special project
10	to go to work with LRH and then went to La Quinta.
11	Q And when did you arrive at La Quinta?
12	A Approximately June.
13	Q Of?
14	A '77.
15	Q And Mr. Hubbard was there?
16	A At that time he wasn't there. He returned a
17	little bit later on.
18	Q And your post at La Quinta was, again, an
19	auditor?
20	A That is correct.
21	Q Now, I take that you weren't auditing any public
22	people there?
23	A No. There were no public people there.
24	Q Just the staff members that were there at
25	La Quinta?
26	A That's right.
27	Q By the way, is Mr. Flynn your attorney?
28	A I have no attorney.

Q All right. Have you spoken to Mr. Flynn during 1 your cross-examination like right out in the hall here? 2 3 A Yes. 4 Q And Mr. Armstrong? 5 A Yes. And did you discuss your cross-examination? 6 7 A You mean what? Previously? 8 Yes. Q 9 To some - -A 10 Now, did you participate in any of the films 11 as an actress or otherwise? I was a makeup -- I put makeup on people and 12 I was an actress in one or two scenes. 13 And when you were - - I take it you would also 14 15 be an auditor at the same time? 16 No. At that time everyone in the org went to work in the films for a while, so I just did for a while. 17 18 Q And these films were what subject 19 matter? They were films about Scientology. 20 A Now when you left the church, you routed out 21 22 of the Sea Org; did you? 23 That is right. A 24 And you did that at La Quinta? 25 That's right. Prior to routing out of the Sea Org, did you 26 0 27 understand that there were certain steps that you went 28 through in order to do that?

: /2

A In the first year or so, yes. Q Nobody has taken any legal action against you hat freeloader debt; have they? A No. Q And you are not aware, are you, of anybody has had any legal action taken against them regarding freeloader debt? A Not that I am aware of. Q Now you saw Mr. Armstrong after you routed for the Sea Organization. When was the first time that haw I will withdraw that. After you routed out of the Sea Organization, is the first time you saw Mr. Armstrong? A Oh, let's see, '80 or '81. Q And where did you see him? A In the apartment that I was living in at the in Torrance.
A No. Q And you are not aware, are you, of anybody has had any legal action taken against them regarding freeloader debt? A Not that I am aware of. Q Now you saw Mr. Armstrong after you routed fine Sea Organization. When was the first time that aw —— I will withdraw that. After you routed out of the Sea Organization, is the first time you saw Mr. Armstrong? A Oh, let's see, '80 or '81. Q And where did you see him? A In the apartment that I was living in at the
A No. Q And you are not aware, are you, of anybody has had any legal action taken against them regarding freeloader debt? A Not that I am aware of. Q Now you saw Mr. Armstrong after you routed for the Sea Organization. When was the first time that haw —— I will withdraw that. After you routed out of the Sea Organization, is the first time you saw Mr. Armstrong? A Oh, let's see, '80 or '81. Q And where did you see him? A In the apartment that I was living in at the
And you are not aware, are you, of anybody has had any legal action taken against them regarding freeloader debt? A Not that I am aware of. O Now you saw Mr. Armstrong after you routed fine Sea Organization. When was the first time that aw —— I will withdraw that. After you routed out of the Sea Organization, is the first time you saw Mr. Armstrong? A Oh, let's see, '80 or '81. O And where did you see him? A In the apartment that I was living in at the
freeloader debt? A Not that I am aware of. Q Now you saw Mr. Armstrong after you routed for the Sea Organization. When was the first time that aw I will withdraw that. After you routed out of the Sea Organization, is the first time you saw Mr. Armstrong? A Oh, let's see, '80 or '81. Q And where did you see him? A In the apartment that I was living in at the
freeloader debt? A Not that I am aware of. Q Now you saw Mr. Armstrong after you routed f the Sea Organization. When was the first time that aw I will withdraw that. After you routed out of the Sea Organization, is the first time you saw Mr. Armstrong? A Oh, let's see, '80 or '81. Q And where did you see him? A In the apartment that I was living in at the
A Not that I am aware of. Q Now you saw Mr. Armstrong after you routed f the Sea Organization. When was the first time that aw I will withdraw that. After you routed out of the Sea Organization, is the first time you saw Mr. Armstrong? A Oh, let's see, '80 or '81. Q And where did you see him? A In the apartment that I was living in at the
Q Now you saw Mr. Armstrong after you routed f the Sea Organization. When was the first time that aw I will withdraw that. After you routed out of the Sea Organization, is the first time you saw Mr. Armstrong? A Oh, let's see, '80 or '81. Q And where did you see him? A In the apartment that I was living in at the
f the Sea Organization. When was the first time that aw I will withdraw that. After you routed out of the Sea Organization, is the first time you saw Mr. Armstrong? A Oh, let's see, '80 or '81. Q And where did you see him? A In the apartment that I was living in at the
After you routed out of the Sea Organization, is the first time you saw Mr. Armstrong? A Oh, let's see, '80 or '81. Q And where did you see him? A In the apartment that I was living in at the
After you routed out of the Sea Organization, is the first time you saw Mr. Armstrong? A Oh, let's see, '80 or '81. Q And where did you see him? A In the apartment that I was living in at the
A Oh, let's see, '80 or '81. Q And where did you see him? A In the apartment that I was living in at the
A Oh, let's see, '80 or '81. Q And where did you see him? A In the apartment that I was living in at the
Q And where did you see him? A In the apartment that I was living in at the
A In the apartment that I was living in at the
in Torrance.
Q And was he still with the church at that point
A Yes.
Q And subsequently did you see him after he left
hurch?
A Yes.
Q And when was the first time that you saw him
you left the church as best you can date?
A I think it was January '82.
Q Did you have conversations with Mr. Armstrong

4Jf

1 Q And when you had this conversation - strike 2 that. 3 This is a state of mind that you observed, that 4 he was in shock? 5 A I don't think he was actually in shock like the 6 medical term. But I mean I think he was shocked by the 7 whole experience. I mean he was -- yes. 8 When you say he was shocked, is this something 9 that you got from your conversation with him? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 And when is the next time that you saw him? 12 I don't recall. I mean over the next several 13 months. I don't know exactly. I know -- I only clearly 14 remember seeing him again in April. I probably saw him many 15 other times. I don't remember. 16 Do you remember him discussing with you his 17 reasons for leaving the church at any time between January 18 and April, 1982? 19 A Between those times, I don't recall. 20 And when was it that you observed his state of 21 mind to be fearful? 22 A Well, the incident I was talking about earlier 23 was in September, I believe. 24 Q September, 1982? 25 A Uh-huh. 26 And that is the incident where you went to some 27 dark corner where he was? 28 That's right.

95.75	[1] 전문 전문 전문 보고 있다면 가는 사람들이 마르는 점점에 가지 않는다면 하지 않는다면 하는데 사람들이 하지만 하지만 하지만 하지만 않는다면 하는데 안 하지만 점점하고 있다.
1	Q Okay. Now, other than that instance where you
2	observed his state of mind to be fearful, did you observe
3	it earlier on any occasion?
4	A Did I observe him to be fearful?
5	Q Yes.
6	A Yes.
7	Q When was that?
8	A Various times that I saw him over the months
9	before that.
10	I saw him fairly frequently between April and
11	September.
12	Q All right. At the time that he brought you
13	documents at your house, which was in April, 1982
14	A Actually, I don't think it was in April. I think
15	it was I think it was in May, 1982.
16	Q But prior to the Bonaventure meeting?
17	A I think it was prior, yes.
18	Q And who was present at the time that he showed
19	you documents?
20	A My husband and Jocelyn.
21	Q And at that time did you observe him to be
22	did you observe him to be of the state of mind of fear?
23	A Well, he was he was at that time, I would say,
24	after the photos were stolen, yes.
25	Q But this incident where he showed you the
26	documents was before the photos; isn't that correct?
27	A No. It was after the photos.
28	O lifter the photoes

	[19] 그 그 그 아이들의 사람들은 그는 그는 그는 그는 그는 그는 그는 그는 그를 가는 것이 되었다. 그는 그는 그를 가는 것이 되었다면 그는 그를 가는 것이 없었다. 그는 그를 가는 그를 가는 그를 가는 것이 없었다. 그는 그를 가는 그를 가는 것이 없었다. 그는 그를 가는 것이 없었다. 그를 가는 것이 없었다면 없었다. 그를 가는 것이 없었다면 없었다. 그를 가는 것이 없었다면 없었다. 그를 가는 것이 없었다면 없었다면 없었다면 없었다면 없었다면 없었다면 없었다면 없었다면
1	A I believe so.
2	Q Now
3	A Let me think.
4	Q Let me understand this: Was the photo incident
5	in April as far as you remember?
6	A As far as I remember, the photo incident was the
7	end of April.
8	Q And his showing you the documents was now, you
9	say, in May at some point?
10	A I think it was in the beginning of May.
11	Q All right. Now, when he was with you on this
12	occasion, when he was showing you the documents, did you hav
13	conversations with him about the documents?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And by the way, the Excalibur that he showed you
16	that manuscript, was that a carbon copy or a Xeroxed copy?
17	A I actually don't know. I don't know if it was
18	a copy or not.
19	Q Did he tell you that the materials that he was
20	showing you was from the archives?
21	A For the most part I actually, I don't think
22	he told me. I knew that they were data that he had
23	collected, I know, for Omar to write the biography.
24	Q And was it your understanding at the time that
25	he showed you the documents that he was doing so because
26	he was in the state of mind of fear?
27	A No. I don't think it was because of that. I

think it was just because he was kind of trying to figure

out what this whole experience of being in the Sea Org and working for L. Ron Hubbard was about and what the man was about. Did you become aware that he was eating nothing but brown rice during that period? Was I aware of that? Q Yes. A No.

		Г
1		
	2	
		5
2		1
	- 17	
•		3
3		
		į
4		-
	19/19	
_	1	1
5		
	1,0	
6		5
U		
	31	
7		1
8	3	V
0	4.0	
9		
10		0
10		
11	120	
		'n
12		
12		
13	5	
14		
''	150	
15		
	4	
16	100	
	-	1
17		-
	3	
18		
	3	
10		
19		
20	- 5	
		1
21	40	
41	31-	
22		
	70	
23		
20	-	
10.0	. 1	
24		

25

26

27

28

Are you certain that when the photographs were stolen that were stored in your garage, it was when Mr. Armstrong went to Clearwater after the photos were stolen?

MR. HARRIS: I'm sorry. I didn't understand the question.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Was she certain. I will sustain the objection. Strike it; unclear, ambiguous.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: And with regard to the first time Mr. Armstrong showed you any documents, was it after the shift in his personality that you noticed?

A Uh-huh, yes.

Ω Did Mr. Armstrong try to talk you out of coming to my office to see me?

A Actually, yes. He didn't think it was a good idea to go see you at the time that I did.

Q What was the effect on you, Miss Dincalci, of seeing the documents that you saw in May 1982?

MR. LITT: Objection; irrelevant.

THE COURT: Well, overruled.

THE WITNESS: I was shocked and I also found it helpful for me personally to answer some questions in my own mind and just to kind of try to sort it out for myself as to who L. Ron Hubbard was, that kind of thing.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: And based on your years of experience in the Sea Organization and people who have left, do you believe it would be helpful to thousands of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

such people who were in your position? A I really do. MR. HARRIS: I object to that, Your Honor. THE COURT: I will sustain the objection. Strike it. BY MR. FLYNN: Now when you were culling PC files, did you do it in a group in which Mr. Lyman Spurlock was present?

MR. LITT: Objection; beyond the scope. There was no examination on this.

THE COURT: Well, I will sustain the objection unless you want to reopen.

MR. FLYNN: I'd like to reopen this one question, Your Honor, that I have learned from the witness at the break.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. FLYNN: Was Mr. Spurlock in a group actually culling PC files with Guardian's office members to your knowledge, Miss Dincalci?

All auditors there culled PC folders and sent copies to the Guardian's office after each session.

And he was in the group that was doing that?

He was an auditor there with me, yes.

MR. FLYNN: That is all I have.

THE COURT: Mr. Litt?

MR. LITT: May I have a moment?

25 42£ 26

27

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. LITT:

Q Miss Dincalci, I take it after you went and had a discussion with Mr. Flynn and Mr. Armstrong it refreshed your recollection, is that right, during the break about the date of the storage?

A I recall that it was -- I mean -- yes. I recall that it was when Gerry went to Clearwater. And that is the reason he put them there, because he was going out of town, yes.

Q And it was your understanding that these documents were from among the documents that Mr. Armstrong had had when he was the archivist?

A At that time I had no understanding.

Gerry simply said, "Can I store these in your garage?"

There were some boxes there.

Q Now you have such an understanding?

A I assumed at that time and I assume now that they were documents. But I didn't even mention to him.

Q And the events during which Mr. Armstrong had brought you the documents to look at that you testified to earlier, that happened before he brought this material to you for storage; right?

A Yes.

Q And I think your testimony was probably a month before?

A No.

1	Q Something like that?
2	A No. I don't think it was that I think it was
3	really right around the same time period.
4	Q Did you get your memory refreshed about that too
5	from a conversation with Mr. Armstrong and Mr. Flynn during
6	the break?
7	A No. They did not refresh my memory on that.
8	Q Did you discuss it with them?
9	A Oh, when I saw the documents?
10	Q Yes.
11	A Uh-huh.
12	MR. LITT: I have nothing further.
13	THE WITNESS: But only in terms of saying in relation
14	to this or that. They helped me sort it out.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Harris.
16	
17	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. HARRIS:
19	Q While you were an auditor at La Quinta,
20	Mr. Spurlock was the Qual Sec; is that right?
21	A He was an auditor.
22	Q Was he the Qual Sec at some point?
23	A I don't recall him being the Qual Sec while I
24	was there.
25	Q Now, you yourself culled PC folders?
26	A Every auditor culled the folders after each
27	session for any crimes and sent them to the Guardian's Office
28	at La Quinta.

You did that? Q 1 I did that, yes. 2 THE COURT: What did you consider to be a crime or what 3 were your instructions? 4 THE WITNESS: Actually, it was anything that could be 5 used against somebody. It was not necessarily a crime. It 6 could be an embarrassing fact. It could be anything that 7 was blackmailable. 8 BY MR. HARRIS: And you saw Mr. Spurlock doing 9 this? 10 A Yes. 11 When was that? Q 12 During the period that I was an auditor there A 13 or maybe 1977 when we would write sessions up. 14 Who was the Guardian's Office Representative at 15 La Quinta? 16 A Ann Mulligan. 17 Anybody else? Q 18 For a time, Mary Sue Hubbard was there, I 19 guess. 20 She was -- anybody else? Q 21 Jimmy Mulligan. A 22 Anybody else? 23 0 Are you asking me was there anybody else who 24 was in the Guardian's Office there? 25 At La Quinta, yes. 26 Yes. 27 Who? 28

12.3	
1	A Brian Rubenik; Fred Rock; Fred Hare. That is
2	all I recall offhand.
3	Q So to whom did you route these communications
4	as an auditor?
5	A To Ann Mulligan.
6	Q Anyone else?
7	A One also went to the case supervisor.
8	Q And the case supervisor, that was who?
9	A Paulette Cohen most of the time.
10	Q As a matter of fact, all session write-ups went
11	to the case supervisor; right?
12	A Uh-huh.
13	Q And that is something that you did while you
14	were an auditor at the American Saint Hill Organization;
15	right?
16	A That's right.
17	Q And that is for the purpose of programming the
18	next auditing session?
19	A That is correct.
20	Q Did you send PC file data to anybody else?
21	A At that time period?
22	Q Yes.
23	A Sometimes you might send it to an ethics officer
24	if there is a situation if you ever perceived that someone
25	was possibly out security and might leave or might have any
26	sort of feelings that were negative about the group or
27	L. Ron Hubbard or any of the going ons; you might write that
28	up to someone else.

up to someone else.

1	Q In the form of a knowledge report?
2	A Uh-huh.
3	Q And when you were auditing at American Saint Hill
4	Organization did you write up knowledge reports to ethics
5	officers?
6	A Not in the same way. There was not emphasis on
7	security at that organization.
8	Q And it was at La Quinta that you began doing
9	this?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And while you were at American Saint Hill
12	Organization you did not route anything to the Guardian's
13	Office?
14	A I didn't personally, no.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
00	

MR. PLYNN: That's all I have.

3 1

2

2

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. HARRIS: No. Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, you may step down. You are excused.

MR. FLYNN: Ed Walters.

MR. LITT: Your Honor, before we proceed with Mr. Walters, I have, in order to insure that my memory was correct, looked at the set of witness lists that the court has in its possession.

Nancy Dincalci was not on the witness list. Ed Walters is not on the witness list. Lyman Spurlock is not on the witness list, and it just seems that at a certain point that the purpose of these rules seem to be receding into the background. There was a witness list of over 50 people or maybe it was 50, something like that, rather extensive, and this is now the third witness that is being called who was not on the witness list, and it just seems to me with the already existing scope of this case that it is inappropriate to call Mr. Walters. There have already been two witnesses that the court had permitted to testify who are not on the witness list, and we certainly are not prepared at this point and have no way of knowing to be prepared that Mr. Walters was going to be a witness in this case nor does it appear that he has any knowledge that really pertains to the direct issues in the case, at least, or anything having to do directly with Mr. Armstrong.

So we would ask that the court decline to permit Mr. Walters to be called as a witness under the

circumstances.

MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, I have checked with Miss Dragojevic. Apparently Nancy Dincalci was not on the witness list.

However, the plaintiff and the intervenor in their preparation of this case knew because it was their case what documents they were going to introduce and they introduced innumberable documents that were not listed on their list of documents and, in fact, most of the documents the great bulk were given to me during the course of this case and during the course of cross-examination, and many of the documents on the face of the document it became self-evident that they knew that those documents would be used.

For example, what I characterize as the fish story of L. Ron Hubbard on the action of Cape Lookout. That was something that I am sure has been researched for a great period of time, and there are many such documents that I had no knowledge of until they were handed to me just before the witness was asked the question. The court has allowed many of those documents to be used.

We now come to a point in the case where
the issues have been formulated to a more precise degree
than they were obviously before the case started, and a lot
of those issues relate to documents that have been introduced
and the issue of the cancelation of the Fair Game Doctrine
has become a significant issue in the case because of
Mr. Armstrong's fear of it and his state of mind.

For the organization to take the position that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

the doctrine was cancelled when there is extensive evidence before the court of such things as the culling of PC files, the SP Declare that was issued on Mr. Armstrong, the activities of these private investigators, the criminal activities as Mr. Spurlock put it of the organization over a long period of time which resulted in the removal of 1,100 people from their post or from something raises the issue of what in practice, regardless of what the semantics of some of these writings of L. Ron Hubbard which oftentimes have dual meanings, what in practice took place with regard to Guardian's office members against perceived enemies, and Mr. Walters in a very short period of time can give very specific testimony of whether or not that policy was ever cancelled and two, ways in which it was implemented against non-Scientology members as well as Scientology members.

So I think that the evidence -- we have been in trial for some number of weeks. We are in our eighth week now. I think for 20 minutes of examination on an important issue of this type, it would be very illiminating and instructive to the court.

MR. LITT: If I may, Your Honor, two points.

The first is that I think that Mr. Flynn is confusing some things. It is our obligation to list and provide copies of our case—in—chief documents. It is the other side's obligation to obtain general documents in the course of discovery.

The court required that we provide documents

as expeditiously as we could that we were using in cross, and we tried to do so. I don't think that has anything to do with the question of people on the witness list.

As to the question of the issue of cancelation of the Fair Game only now coming up, I would remind the court that we were arguing the motions in limine at the time that we thought this would be a jury trial. One of the areas that we asked that there be no testimony on was the area of fair game and Mr. Flynn's was that he would only have limited testimony unless it was contended that fair game would be cancelled or had been cancelled. I am sorry.

So that the notion that the question of cancellation of Fair Game is a new issue in this case, I think is not an accurate reflection of the record in the case.

And I think the Court has given Mr. Flynn substantial leeway.

And it is just at a certain point it is not fair to list one set of extensive witnesses who we have to then get prepared for because we don't know whether they'll be witnesses or not and then to begin calling a completely different set of witnesses.

After all, there have only been -- this, I think, is the fifth defense witness and will be the third out of the five who will not have been listed on the witness list.

That seems to me to be inappropriate. I have nothing further.

THE COURT: Well, I am not really sure what the Plaintiff's position on this is. There is a lot of talk about cancellation, but as I recall now the document that was presented was something that came out in '82.

MR. HARRIS: No, Your Honor. There is -- the document --

THE COURT: I have seen so many documents.

MR. HARRIS: The document is -- I believe it is 1980.

And the reason that that one was chosen is because it came

out of the issues file that Mr. Armstrong had which indicated
that he had seen it.

If Your Honor wants I can present, you know, numerous intervening documents that -- one just re-affirms that it had previously been canceled; it remained canceled.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Now, the problem is the doctrine -- see, this is the confusion. And this is what I was trying to clear up.

If Mr. Armstrong had the state of mind that he would be attacked and so on, that is one thing. And, obviously, that has been presented in respect to the defense's case.

The problem is if it were scriptural and doctrinal that that occur -- now, as I understood it, from the testimony in the defense's case, there was some kind of secret Fair Game thing which the Guardian's Office did. And it is not associated -- and that is really the point that is trying to be made, not associated with a policy letter that has since been canceled.

THE COURT: Well --

MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, if Mr. Harris will stipulate to that and Mr. Armstrong's state of mind, if he knew about the secret policy, then the effect is the same. If Mr. Harris is saying there is the policy for staff members who don't know about a secret policy, then I'll accept that.

MR. HARRIS: I am not --

THE COURT: The only point I was trying to get at was your evidence deals, as I understand it, up to 1979. If they are contending the policy wasn't changed until 1980, this doesn't rebut that.

MR. HARRIS: No. I'm suggesting there is an earlier cancellation which is within -- which shows in the 1980

1 matter, Your Honor. And the only reason that one was chosen 2 was because it was amongst the issues that were in 3 Mr. Armstrong's original --4 THE COURT: It is like parol evidence. It is rather 5 ambiguous. And we'll have extrinsic evidence. 6 Proceed. You may call your witness. 7 MR. FLYNN: Call Mr. Walters, Your Honor. 8 MR. HARRIS: This is, I take it, going to Mr. Armstrong's --10 THE COURT: It goes to whether in fact there was such 11 a cancellation -- whether in fact there was such a policy. 12 As to whether or not there was any belief that 13 Mr. Armstrong had was a reasonable belief, as I look at it, 14 it is very similar to the doctrine of self-defense in an 15 assault case. You have to have a reasonable and good faith 16 belief. There are two aspects to it. 17 So proceed. 18 THE CLERK: Raise your right hand to be sworn, 19 please. 20 21 EDWARD WALTERS, 22 called as a witness by the defense, having been duly sworn, 23 testified as follows: 24 THE WITNESS: I do. 25 THE CLERK: Be seated in the witness stand. 26 THE COURT: We are going to limit the testimony to this 27 issue. We are not going to get into all the things that 28 Mr. Flynn talked about earlier today.

1 MR. FLYNN: I'll try to limit it, Your Honor. 2 THE CLERK: Please, state your name and spell your 3 last name. 4 THE WITNESS: Edward Walters, W-a-1-t-e-r-s. 5 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: 8 Where do you live, Mr. Walters? 9 Las Vegas, Nevada. 10 Were you involved with the Church of Scientology 11 for a period of years? 12 Yes: from 1970 to 1979. 13 During those years did you become a Guardian's 14 Office missionary? 15 Yes. I was a field intelligence agent. 16 And over how many years were you a field 17 intelligence agent? 18 From 1971 -- I would say I worked undercover on 19 the death of Quentin Hubbard -- that was the last thing I 20 did. 21 But I would say I was an agent until the day I 22 left. It is hard to tell, you know. 23 During that period of time did you participate 24 in numerous meetings with Guardian Office personnel regarding 25 intelligence activities? 26 A Yes. 27 Over those years how many such meetings would 28 you estimate you participated in?

1 A Counting very short ones, I would say a few 2 hundred. 3 Incidentally, like Mr. Spurlock, are you a 4 Class VIII auditor? 5 A Yes, I am. 6 Who were some of the Guardian's Office personnel 7 who participated in these meetings with you? 8 Susan Reed, who is in Las Vegas; she was AG, 9 assistant Guardian. 10 Madeline Reese, who was AG PRO. She was Guardian 11 Public Relations. 12 Chuck Reese, who was AGI, basically for 13 intelligence. 14 The man that recruited me on behalf of the 15 Hubbards was Bruce Raymond. 16 Who is Mr. Raymond? 17 Well, it is not that -- Bruce Raymond is a 18 gentleman that I met in Scientology in 1971 who recruited 19 me on behalf of the Hubbards to work on confidential 20 intelligence projects. 21 And was he a Guardian's Office B-1 operative? 22 Yes, he was. A 23 And who in addition to the ones you have 24 mentioned did you participate in meetings with with Guardian's 25 Office intelligence operatives? 26 I had quite a few with Audie Marin. A 27 Who is Mr. Marin? 28

He was the highest official probably at that

time in the United States in the Church of Scientology. As far as I understood his title, it was assistant Guardian PRO. And how many meetings did you have with Mr. Marin? I would say 100.

4 5

8 9

Q And do you know whether at some point Mr. Marin served time in prison while he was in the Church of Scientology?

MR. LITT: Objection; what is the relevance of that?
THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: Who else did you meet with,
Mr. Walters, in connection with Guardian office operations?

A That is all I can think of at the moment.

Q In connection with these meetings, did you have numerous discussions relative to the Fair Game Doctrine?

A Yes, very many.

Q And what in general was the nature of those discussions?

A Basically it was that we in the Guardian's office felt that in order to protect L. Ron Hubbard from the enemies, the policy was that the suppressive had crimes and were criminals, and that these criminals, whether they be in the Better Business Bureau or the doctors, lawyers — we had one or two operations against judges — local political officials, health officials in Las Vegas are things that should be destroyed. It was just a matter of the discussions I was involved in was how to do it. How severe it should be done. How serious the flap was.

A flap is something going on that is a possible danger, a legal danger to Scientology and the Hubbards.

Q And was there discussions relative to the fact that the Fair Game Doctrine as set forth in exhibit double R in this case was uniformly applied against enemies

throughout the years that you were involved?

A Yes it was standard. In fact, it was standard that if anybody asked us, we would show them the cancelation and the viewpoint of the Guardian's office was that the people on lines, the general people on lines weren't bright enough to see the difference between that we wouldn't label them suppressive or fair game, but any attack on the organization or the Hubbards we will apply the Fair Game Doctrine and we did.

Q And can you give the court just some indication on how many operations you personally went out on in connection with intelligence activitie?

A The ones that I accepted would probably be 10 that accepted.

Q And what was the nature of those operations?

A We bugged --

MR. HARRIS: It is now beyond the scope of what Your Honor said would be allowed.

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection. If the plaintiff wants to go into it on cross, they can. Otherwise it stands as the testimony of the witness.

Q BY MR. FLYNN: Well, were these operations that you directly participated in operations to destroy people?

A Yes, to destroy their reputation, to destroy their business. The concept, the GO tech is that if you destroy their reputation, they cannot show up in court to testify. In other words, if I planted dope on an individual,

minutes and he comes from Las Vegas if we could wind it up this afternoon.

MR. LITT: I don't think we can do that without having an opportunity to do some investigation. I just don't feel, having not had any idea that he would testify, that I could make a determination as to even the reasonable scope of what should be inquired of. I realize he is here. He has been in and out, I understand, for the past several days and I think under the circumstances, that that is fair.

THE COURT: Well, I suppose it is fair, but it kind of a problem here.

You want to say something?

THE WITNESS: Yes. There is nothing I am going to say he has not heard before. They have done 10 to 20 depositions on me.

MR. LITT: This is the first time I have seen the gentleman in my life except, I guess, he's been here the last few days. I am sorry.

THE COURT: Well, I guess I will have to order you back tomorrow.

MR. LITT: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Take the recess at this time. I will make it 9:30 tomorrow morning.

(The proceedings were adjourned until Wednesday, May 30, 1984 at 9:30 a.m.)